

TOWN of GLOCESTER
COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITY PLAN

THE GLOCESTER VISION

“A guide to actions for sustained community development; for the community, the current and future residents and their families.”

***ORIGINAL PLAN* ADOPTED**

Planning Board - May 2, 1994

Town Council - June 16, 1994

Revised Plan Adopted-
September 20, 2001

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

The Gloucester Vision	1
List of Figures	4
List of Maps	5
Acknowledgments	6
Ordinance of Adoption	7
Resolution of Adoption	8
Foreword	9
Introduction	10
Plan Summary	13
The Process	14
1.1 Background	14
1.2 The Comprehensive Planning Process	14
1.3 The Planning Process Methodology	15
1.4 The Central Concerns	15
The Regional and Historical Perspectives	17
2.1 The Regional Setting	17
2.2 Development History	18
<i>PART I Goals, Objectives, and Recommendations</i>	
3.1 Land Use	21
3.2 Goals	21
3.3 Objectives and policies	21
3.4 Recommendations	21
4.1 Housing	24
4.2 Goals	24
4.3 Objectives and Policies	24
4.4 Recommendations	24
5.1 Economic Development	26
5.2 Goals	26
5.3 Objectives and Policies	26
5.4 Recommendations	26
6.1 Natural and Cultural Resources	28
6.2 Goals	28
6.3 Objectives and Policies	28
6.4 Recommendations	28
7.1 Services and Facilities	32
7.2 Goals	32
7.3 Objectives and Policies	32
7.4 Recommendations	32
8.1 Open Space and Recreation	34
8.2 Goals	34
8.3 Objectives and Policies	34
8.4 Recommendations	34
9.1 Circulation	36
4.2 Goals	36
4.3 Objectives and Policies	36
4.4 Recommendations	36
10.1 Implementation	38

PART II Plan Contents

11.1 Land Use 42
11.2 Introduction 42
11.3 Land Use History 42
11.4 Existing Conditions 43
11.5 Population And Employment Trends 48
11.6 Land Capacity Analysis 50
11.7 Implications for the Future 52
11.8 Amendments to the Plan 58
12.1 Housing 59
12.2 Introduction 59
12.3 Existing Conditions 59
12.4 Affordability and Surrounding Area Conditions 61
12.5 Housing Needs 63
13.1 Economic Development 67
13.2 Instruction 67
13.3 Existing Conditions 68
13.4 Economic Development Needs 70
14.1 Natural and Cultural Resources 72
14.2 Introduction 72
14.3 Natural Resources Inventory 72
14.3.2 Soils 78
14.4 Other Natural Resources Issues of Importance 84
14.5 Natural Resources Summary 88
14.6 Cultural & Historical Resources Assessment 88
15.1 Public Services and Facilities 92
15.2 Introduction 92
15.3 Public Services and Facilities; Inventory and Analysis 92
16.1 Open Space and Recreation 101
16.2 Introduction 101
16.3 Existing Conditions 101
16.4 Supply and Need Analysis 104
16.5 Action Plan 107
17.1 Circulation 108
17.2 Introduction 108
17.3 Existing Conditions 108
17.4 Analysis and Conclusions 115
Bibliography 118
Index 119

List of Figures

List of Figures

Table 11.1	Land Use	43
Table 11.2	Undeveloped Land Uses	45
Table 11.3	Publicly Owned Undeveloped Land	46
Table 11.4	Developed Land Uses	47
Table 11.5	Population: Gloucester	48
Table 11.6	Future Population Projections	48
Table 11.7	Employment Trends	49
Table 11.8	Land Capacity Analysis	52
Table 12.1	Housing Inventory	59
Table 12.2	Housing Age and Type	60
Table 12.3	Housing Conditions	60
Table 12.4	Housing Conditions: Surrounding Area	61
Table 12.5	Housing Types: Surrounding Area	61
Table 12.6	Housing Affordability: Surrounding Area	62
Table 12.7	Contracted Rent Per Month	63
Table 12.8	Housing Assisted Units	64
Table 13.1	Local Employment	69
Table 14.1	Surface Water Reservoir Inventory	74
Table 14.2	Soils Analysis	76
Table 14.3	Prime Agricultural Soils	77
Table 14.4	Farmland of State Importance	80
Table 14.5	Unique Habitats	80
Table 14.6	Endangered and Threatened Species	84
Table 15.1	School Enrollment	93
Table 15.2	School Enrollment: Future	93
Table 16.1	Inventory: Recreation, Conservation and Open Space	102
Table 16.2	Park Association Classification System	104
Table 16.3	Recreation Facility Classification	105
Table 16.4	Recreation Action Plan: 1994-1997	107
Table 17.1	Highway Classification Plan	109
Table 17.2	Functional Highway Classification System	110
Table 17.3	Level of Service: Routes 44 and 102	111
Table 17.4	Traffic Accidents: 1986-1990	111
Table 17.5	Roadway Accident Date	111
Table 17.6	RIPTA Bus Services: Route 44 at Chepachet	112

List of Maps

Map (1)	Location	20
Map 11.1	Existing Land Use	44
Map 11.2	Future Land Use	54
Map 11.3	Existing Zoning	57
Map 14.2	Hydrologically Sensitive Areas	76
Map 14.3	100 Year Floodplain Areas	77
Map 14.4	Soil Constraints to Development	81
Map 14.4a	Important Agricultural Soils	82
Map 14.5	Natural and Cultural Heritage	91
Map 15.1	Services and Facilities	95
Map 16.1	Recreation, Conservation, and Open Space Facilities	98
Map 17.1	Roadway	114

The full scale version of each map listed on this chart is available for review in the Gloucester Town Clerk's office.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments

The Gloucester Planning Board wishes to express its grateful thanks and acknowledge the assistance and efforts of the following persons whose contributions made this Plan a better product:

Michael Ahnrud	Kevin Kitson
Gregory Andreozzi	Bruce Latimer
Connie Baker	Thomas Leddy
Diane Bartlett	George Leonhardt
William Buote-Greig	Norma Lohr
Edward Burlingame	Donald Lusignan
Mary Ann Carroll	John McCabe
Stephanie Ceceri	Pete McNeil
Richard Cedor	Rick Nadeau
Gerard Charron	Kenneth Neri
Ruth Cole	Pauline Nourse
Beverly Comber	Robert Nourse
Stanley Crawford	Joseph Osmanski, O.D.
Alfred DeCorte	John R. Owens, Jr.
Donald Driscoll	Bruce Payton
William Falcone	Carol Pentleton
Pamela Ferretti	Barbara Robertson
Bruce Forbes	Joseph Rocchio
Charles Fogarty	Priscilla Rotondo
Jan Frazier	Robert Rotondo
Robert Gentry	John Ryone
Dante Giammarco, Esquire	M. Jeffry Schanck
Nancy Glanz	William Sheehan, Esquire
Clinton Gustafson	John Stachelhaus
Charlene Hainsworth	Richard Tarlaian
Barbara Hancock	Lynn O'Connor-Tucker
Arlyne Harrower	Howard Tucker
Patricia Hayward	Sandra Walker
Edward Juairé	Darrell Walton
Edna Kent	John Windecker
Francis Killion	

Ordinance of Adoption

Town Council

At a meeting on June 16, 1994, the Town Council of Gloucester officially adopted the Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan. The revised Comprehensive Community Plan was adopted on September 20, 2001.

Resolution of Adoption

Resolution of Adoption

Planning Board

MOTION was made by Carol Pentleton that the Gloucester Planning Board adopt the amended Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan in its entirety pursuant to the Rhode Island General Laws, Title 45, Chapter 22.2 with which the Comprehensive Plan is in compliance and for which public input was solicited and received through a Comprehensive Community Plan Commission in addition to a series of public workshops and an advertised Public Hearing held by the Planning Board on April 25, 1994, and further that the Planning Board recommend that the Town Council also adopt the amended Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan in its entirety.

MOTION was seconded by Arlyne Harrower.

VOTE: Unanimous

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Owens, Jr.

Planning Board Chairman

Foreword

VISION: 2.a: the act or power of imagination, b.(1): mode of seeing or conceiving, (2): unusual discernment or foresight, (4) b: a lovely or charming sight...¹

This Comprehensive Community Plan (Plan) has been prepared by the Town of Glocester in conformance with Title 45, Chapter 22.2 of the Rhode Island General Laws. This document, though, represents more than Glocester's adherence to a legal obligation. It is a compendium of the dreams, concerns, hopes, fears, expectations and preferences that forms the shared sense of this community's desired future evolution; THE GLOCESTER VISION.

Traditionally, documents of this sort appear dull to the reader; just another cold government recital of seemingly unimportant facts, figures and information. This plan, however, is designed to be a truly unique and dynamic vehicle, ready to be used as a means to realize the GLOCESTER VISION of a sustainable and enjoyable rural living environment for Glocester.

¹ Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary, pp1318 (1991)

Introduction

In 1988, the Rhode Island General Assembly passed the Rhode Island Planning and Land Use Act; Title 45, Chapter 22.2 of the Rhode Island General Laws. All Rhode Island municipalities must adopt a comprehensive community plan in accordance and conformance with this Act. Section 4 (6) of the Act states: “Comprehensive plan or comprehensive land use plan means a document containing the components described in this chapter, including the implementation program which is consistent with the goals and guidelines established by this chapter.”²

Fine, but what does this mean? A comprehensive community plan is a document that articulates a general future vision of the municipality. The future vision usually describes preferential means to attain the desired goals within the context of a five to twenty year plan framework. This plan will articulate a future vision for Glocester set within a five year time frame. It also means to provide for orderly, acceptable change within a community. The public should use this document as a general statement listing the town’s preferred actions to achieve the articulated future vision.

How can the public use this plan? In simple terms, the public should use this plan as a general reference source to determine whether public bodies are forming conclusions and acting in conformance with the content of the approved plan.³ The plan must form the basis for all public body land use decisions. Should a conflict occur between a public body’s action and an action listed in the plan, either an official plan amendment must occur or the action should not be taken. This plan must not be confused with a zoning or subdivision ordinance which lists specific requirements and actions governing the use and development of land. This comprehensive plan is intended to be a general reference document that is policy oriented. Individuals may vary in their interpretation of the document and its applicability in a given situation. Isn’t the old comprehensive plan good enough for the Town? Glocester, like every other Rhode Island community, must adopt a new comprehensive community plan that is in accordance with R.I.G.L., Title 45, Chapter 22.2. This document represents Glocester’s compliance with this law.

Is this plan significantly different from the old comprehensive community plan? In some ways yes; in other ways no. It truly depends on the specific instance. In 1986, Glocester adopted its most recent comprehensive community plan. That plan stated:

“The residents of Glocester will be forced to make some extremely important choices about the character and environment of their town during (the 1980’s).

Growth will continue to occur in Glocester during the 1980’s, but the nature of development will change and so will its dimensions. Paramount in this changing environment, is the need to keep Glocester a rural interlude in an ever expanding megalopolis.”⁴

The 1986 plan is the foundation for this plan. Many of the concerns and recommendations contained in the 1986 plan can be identified in this latest plan. Our society’s changing attitudes and increasing

² Title 45, Chapter 22.2, Section 46), RIGL 1993

³ Title 45, Chapter 22.2, Section 13 (c) RIGL 1993

⁴ Comprehensive Community Plan, Glocester, RI 1986, pp 1

knowledge base necessitated the presentation of new concerns and ideas in this plan that had not been previously considered by the Town. In short, this plan represents the best and most appropriate parts of the old Plan, combined with the current demands and expectations of the community.

Should Gloucester residents believe that this plan can provide for a sound and orderly future for Gloucester? Community commitment to the goals and objectives articulated in this document can make the GLOCESTER VISION a reality. “This is the key to the success of the [Comprehensive] Planning Program for Gloucester, as implementation of the (Comprehensive) Community Plan depends substantially upon the willingness of the community to accept and work towards its goals.”⁵

Since 1994, this plan has undergone review by State Agencies as set forth by the state Planning and Land Use Act. Review comments have been received and evaluated which have resulted in the drafting of certain amendments to the plan designed to resolve appropriate matters of concern.

Additionally, since 1994 events have occurred which deserve recognition by and incorporation into the Plan. Such events of importance include the “Chepachet Village Planning Project” as prepared by the Community Planning Studio, Graduate Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development, University of Rhode Island, in the fall of 1997. This document is a comprehensive plan and implementation strategy for the Chepachet Village area which provides “... for the protection, improvement, and enhancement of the physical, historical, natural, and economic environment of the Village...” Of importance to both this village plan and the community is the establishment of, and inclusion of Gloucester within and as part of, the Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor and Commission, which has rendered resource and partnership assistance to the Chepachet Village initiative and project as an appropriate and important regional planning institution.

This implementation strategy for the Chepachet Village Plan is comprehensive in scope, is being carried out and prioritized, and itself requires actions that need to be recognized by the Gloucester Comprehensive Plan through its various elements, both as an extension of the comprehensive plan and to assure future consistency with the plan. The recommendation and implementation strategy of the Chepachet Village Planning Project need to be incorporated by reference into each related element of the Comprehensive Plan as appropriate. The Chepachet Village Plan itself was “... guided by the goals, objectives and policies of the Town of Gloucester Comprehensive Plan” as stated in [its] “Vision Statement” of Chapter V “Recommendations and Implementation Strategy.”⁶

Another major planning initiative that has occurred since 1994 is the preparation of the “Gloucester Wastewater Management Study” for the Town by Fuss & O’Neill, Inc., in 1997. This study serves as the RIDEM approved “Facility Plan” for the Town of Gloucester, and contains a number of analysis and alternative recommendations for consideration and action by the Town. The importance of this study is also reflected in the Chepachet Village Plan noted previously, and has been the subject of ongoing deliberation and implementation evaluation by the Town Wastewater Management Commission as authorized by the Gloucester Town Council. The major findings and alternative recommendations of this study likewise need to be incorporated into the Gloucester Comprehensive Plan to support future

⁵ Ibid Number 6, pp 33

⁶ “Chepachet Village Planning Project.” Section V. Recommendations and Implementation Strategies.
p. 95.

Introduction

implementation efforts, including but not limited to, the establishment of one or more Wastewater Management Districts in Gloucester and the purposes therefore.

Other issues which now deserve further attention in the Comprehensive Plan in order to maintain its relevance to unfolding events are listed below in no particular order of importance:

- a. Scenic Roads
- b. Watershed Areas
- c. Capital Improvement Program
- d. Future Land Use
- e. Zoning
 - 1. Site Plan Review
 - 2. Special Regulations
 - 3. Zoning Districts
 - 4. Flexible Zoning Techniques
- f. Subdivision/Land Development Projects
 - 1. Land Unsuitable for Development
- g. Recreation Needs

Specific actions are also incorporated into Section 10.1 Implementation of this plan to carry out the recommendations. The Goals and Policies Section are also revised accordingly.

Plan Summary

Based on this central theme, the Planning Board composed a new comprehensive community plan. Data collection, analysis and interpretation laid the foundation of this plan. From that base, a series of Goals, Objectives, Policies and Recommendations to achieve the Gloucester Vision were formed. Lastly, a program to implement the plan was devised by the Planning Board. This process and its findings are detailed in the text of this document.

This plan summary is not intended to replace the text version of the Plan. Rather, it is offered to acquaint the reader with the general findings, conclusions and recommendations contained in this Plan. Thus, this section is a supplement to the text and should not be used as the only source of information to determine the nature and direction of Gloucester.

In simple terms, Gloucester is a place held to be special by its citizens. It is the nature of Gloucester that attracts new residents and encourages existing residents to remain. Some of these desirable community attributes are described in the Plan as “a sense of place; its rural character; the abundant natural resources; the landscape and scenery; and its sensible living environment.” These attributes were scientifically quantified in the Plan using appropriate research methods. This information was used to establish the Goals, Objectives, Policies and Recommendations of the Plan.

Overall, Gloucester citizens want to retain the Rural Character, Sense of Place, Natural Resources, Sensible Living Environment and the Town’s role as a Greenbelt Oasis to the Providence metropolitan area. They wish to balance the need and demand for growth with preservation and conservation of the desirable community attributes. Gloucester citizens want a future that will allow for appropriate, well-planned growth and minimal disruption to the community’s desirable attributes.

The contents of this Plan provide an in-depth explanation of these general comments.

The Gloucester Comprehensive Planning Process

1.1 Background

The required elements of all community comprehensive plans (Plan) are set forth in Title 45, Chapter 22.2, Section 6 of the Rhode Island Comprehensive Planning and Land Use Regulation Act (Act). The Act stipulates the contents, compilation and adoption process, communication medium and general planning requirements of the Plan. The Town of Gloucester has endeavored to not only meet the requirements of the Act, but to involve the entire community in a process to properly plan for the Town's future.

Gloucester has had a long-term commitment to comprehensive planning for the community. It was more than twenty-five years ago that the then-residents of Gloucester realized the need to properly plan for their community's future. A series of comprehensive community plans and specific issue studies have since been developed to provide for the orderly future development of the Town. This Plan represents the latest effort in this ongoing community planning process.

1.2 The Comprehensive Planning Process

To provide for a thorough and accurate comprehensive-planning process, in 1989 the then-Glocester Town Council appointed a citizen group to compose a new comprehensive community plan for the Town. The Gloucester Comprehensive Plan Commission began its endeavor in earnest.

An extensive public outreach effort initiated the planning process. A series of workshops and planning charettes were conducted to obtain community-wide opinions and input. A grouping of preliminary goals and objectives was created by the consultant and Planner for the Commission. After several more meetings, the Commission endorsed goals and objectives for the Plan's required elements.

Hours of public meetings and hearings were conducted by this group to obtain an accurate indication of the public's opinion regarding the Town's future. Eventually, this group submitted a report to the Gloucester Planning Board and Town Council concerning their findings. In October of 1993, the Gloucester Town Council authorized the Planning Board to prepare a revised comprehensive community plan.

Since much work and input had already been expended on the Plan's initial composition, it was decided by the Planning Board to use that previously generated information as a beginning. It was in this setting that the Town of Gloucester willingly embarked on its ambition to establish a new comprehensive community plan that would help guide the future development of the community in an orderly and rational manner.

Beginning in October of 1993, the Planning Board held a series of special meetings to review and comment on individual elements of the comprehensive plan concepts composed by the Comprehensive Community Plan Commission. Each of these meetings were advertised as workshops and open to the public for observation and participation. As the plan progressed through the review process, the Town Planner made presentations to several community groups and governmental agencies regarding the contents of the Plan. On May 2, 1994, the Planning Board held a public hearing on the Plan to solicit input on the draft final version. Notice was made in accordance with the provisions specified in Title 45, Chapter 22.2 of the Rhode Island General Laws. This notice included public advertisements, copies of the draft final version Plan being distributed throughout the community and at public places, direct notice and solicitation for input to abutting communities in both Rhode Island and Connecticut, and

direct notice to individuals that comprised the initial Comprehensive Community Plan Commission and local community groups interested in the Plan.

As a result of this public hearing, several changes were incorporated into the final draft version of the Plan. This final version was then discussed by and adopted by the Gloucester Planning Board on May 2, 1994. The Planning Board then submitted the adopted draft of the Plan to the Town Council for consideration and action.

On June 9, 1994, the Town Council held a public hearing to solicit input from the public regarding the contents of the Plan. Based on the testimony presented at this hearing, the Town Council considered adoption of the Plan, with several amendments and stipulations, at its June 16, 1994 meeting. Thus, on June 16, 1994, the Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan was officially adopted by the Town Council.

The amendments proposed for the Comprehensive Plan in 1998-1999 as a result of State Agency review and the additional plan update, have followed a similar procedure. In 1994, after adoption of the plan and commencement of review by the State of Rhode Island, the Gloucester Town Council established a “Comprehensive Community Plan Coordinating Committee”, made up of a representative of each Town Board and Commission with some responsibility for plan implementation, to oversee and coordinate plan implementation. The Gloucester Planning Board, with the assistance of this committee, has held a number of workshops in 1998 and 1999 to commence the plan amendment process which will follow a similar procedure for adoption upon State review and acceptance of the 1999 (proposed) plan update.

1.3 The Planning Process Methodology

The *Handbook On The Local Comprehensive Plan* details a comprehensive planning process that had been employed by the Commission.⁷ The Planning Board has elected to complete the Plan within the framework of this same methodology. Figure 1 illustrates the process embraced by the Town.

This planning process enabled the public to properly and adequately convey their desires, expectations, concerns, preferences and disappointments regarding the Town’s development. The Commission was able to use this planning process to articulate and blend the public’s opinions into the goals, objectives and implementation program contained in the Plan.

1.4 The Central Concerns

The Commission established a central theme to the Plan, as a result of the public participation and planning process. This concept is best articulated as a sense of place; what people have come to sense, perceive and expect of their community, Gloucester. It is considered rural, a place of quite enjoyment where the residents may rest and refresh their bodies and spirits, where everyday living is special and worthwhile, a place that intertwines the scenic views, the wildlife and the people. This is Gloucester’s




Figure 1

GLOUCESTER PLANNING PROCESS

Source: *Town Planner's Office 1994*

⁷ Handbook On The Local Comprehensive Plan, RI Division of Planning 1989, IV-7

The Process

sense of place. Of paramount concern is the expectation to preserve this sense of place into the future through appropriate, well-planned growth. **THIS IS THE GLOCESTER VISION.**

Indivisible from this central theme of place, is the desire to retain Gloucester's attractiveness. Residents expressed an opinion that large population growth, open space and farmland loss, the introduction of undesirable suburbanization, an expanding governmental structure and associated tax burden, and land use practices that degrade the environment, all contribute in various ways to undermine the public's confidence for the future. Gloucester residents emphatically want to retain their sense of place and way of life as the Town grows into the future.

This central theme shall serve as the litmus test for all the goals, objectives, and implementation strategies contained in this Plan. Thus, future land use decisions must further the central theme interest to be considered in conformance with this Plan.

The Regional and Historical Perspectives

2.1 The Regional Setting

The Northeast Region of the United States is often described as the BosWash Corridor by planners. This amalgamated name represents the area between Boston, Massachusetts and Washington, D.C. and connotes this area as densely populated, snarled with traffic congestion and often characterized by development sprawl rendering communities within the corridor indistinguishable from each other.

The State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations lies within the BosWash Corridor. Empirical data suggests that people from outside the region often view Rhode Island as a place much like the Corridor. Factors often cited to provide “evidence” of its “highly urban” character include its dense population and small geographic area. As Rhode Islanders know, this perception is not accurate. Of the thirty-nine communities that comprise the state’s political jurisdiction, only eight are cities. The remaining thirty-one towns comprise over 89 percent of the state’s entire land area, but support less than one-half of the state’s population. Towns have a population density that is nearly 8 times less than the population density of the cities.⁸ This fact establishes a distinct dichotomy between city and town. Rhode Island’s towns are also very different from each other. Some are suburban communities characterized by sprawl development that obscures jurisdictional boundaries with urban areas. Other towns remain largely undeveloped and more representative of Rhode Island’s agricultural past while supporting the needs of their modern day residents.

Glocester lies outside the urban perimeter of the Rhode Island metropolitan area; the Providence-Pawtucket-Warwick Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (See Map I). The towns that border Glocester are primarily characterized as rural. However, suburban growth is approaching Glocester from the east along the Route 44 roadway corridor. “When viewed in this very broad context the uniqueness and therefore the importance of Glocester, in conjunction with other western Rhode Island communities and eastern Connecticut, as a part of a rural interlude or oasis in a very extensive urban ribbon, becomes much more pronounced.”⁹

Given its location within the regional corridor and its proximity to the Providence metropolitan area, market forces for additional development will be exerted upon Glocester; this fact is certain. And although the rate and intensity of this development pressure are unknown, Glocester must plan to manage these market forces in an orderly fashion or the market will shape the town’s future character haphazardly. This Plan is the mechanism to ensure the future of Glocester, to realize The Glocester Vision.

Before this Plan describes how the community might achieve the central theme, it is a wise exercise to review the historical development of Glocester. With a proper understanding and appreciation of the community’s past, its future development can be appropriately planned to reflect sensitively its historical culture.

2.2 Development History

⁸ US Census Bureau, Decennial Census of Population, 1990

⁹ Comprehensive Community Plan, Glocester, RI 1986, p 44

Native Americans migrated through the area now known as Gloucester for thousands of years before the first European settlers arrived. These people were generally associated with migratory tribes that hunted, fished, gathered forest products and may have cultivated crops. Roger Williams received a deed to land in 1638 from the Narragansett tribe that included much of the area known today as Providence County. In 1660 this deed was surveyed to determine its boundary. “Land lying within a north-south dividing line drawn seven miles west of Fox- Point in Providence was known as the ‘inlands’.” The area from seven to twenty miles west of Fox Point-now comprising the towns of Burrillville, Foster, Gloucester and Scituate-was referred to as the ‘outlands’ or the ‘Providence Woods’.¹⁰ The current day eastern boundary of Gloucester represents the Seven Mile Line.

The first evidence of permanent settlements occurred in what is now Gloucester in about 1706. These first settlers concentrated their work on land clearing and cultivation. Agriculture related endeavors were the predominant economic activity during this early period. As settlements increased in the Providence ‘outlands’, calls for political independence from the then-Town of Providence collaterally increased. In 1731, a division from the Town of Providence occurred that established a new town called “Gloucester.” At first the Town name used the English spelling to honor the Duke of Gloucester, after whom the Town was named. Shortly after its founding, a dispute with England resulted in the Town changing the spelling to Gloucester in a desire to differentiate themselves from England. This division included the area which comprises present day Burrillville. In 1806, however, Burrillville was established as its own separate town. Thus, the boundaries of Gloucester were instituted to their present configuration.

The town’s population and commerce activity grew rapidly in the early nineteenth century. Prosperous villages called Harmony and Chepachet evolved along the towns primary roadway, the Putnam Pike. As the century progressed, the agricultural economy was being augmented by village-center commerce. Manufacturing and services began to have an economic presence in the town centers. Gloucester began to evidence many of the national trends caused by the industrial revolution. Operating farms suffered from neglect as rural areas experienced population loss to villages and cities. Some of the manufacturing activities that located in Gloucester included gristmills, sawmills, an oil mill, a tannery and textiles concerns.

Two matters of particular interest occurred mid-nineteenth century in Gloucester; the Gold Mine endeavor and the Dorr Rebellion. Several attempts were undertaken to recover gold from the Durfee Hill area. Although some gold was reportedly obtained, the operation ceased by the end of the nineteenth century. Thomas Dorr and some of his followers took up arms against the established state government in 1842 over suffrage philosophy disagreements. The event caused the then-state militia to march into Chepachet and disband the rebels. Acote’s Hill marks the location of this historically significant altercation.

Many technological changes occurred in the early twentieth century that would have a lasting impact on Gloucester. Without exception, the invention of the automobile and its subsequent evolution has impacted the town in numerous ways. First trolleys, then automobiles brought people to the ‘outlands’ in record-setting numbers. Growth rates of up to 50 percent a decade were measured with the advent


¹⁰ Historical and Architectural Resources of Gloucester, RI: A Preliminary Report, RI Historical Preservation Commission, 1980, p 4

of transportation improvements, both roadways and automobiles. People were said to have sought out Gloucester for its bucolic setting and many recreational opportunities. However, by mid-century many of these new residents commuted to urban areas for employment. The once numerous - farms were being displaced for residential development and the village manufacturing entities eventually ceased to operate. By the late-twentieth century, the town's economic base had shifted to a service dependent economy. Residents were often required to travel to the suburban and urban markets to obtain financially meaningful employment opportunities.

“Gloucester today is essentially a suburban community in a rural setting. Chepochet, the hub of the town, has a small amount of industry and considerable commercial trade, but most town residents travel to work elsewhere and use Gloucester primarily as a place of residence. The town has changed considerably over the centuries.

“Overall, Gloucester’s cultural resources - its early dwellings, farm complexes, mills, schools, churches, and villages - bespeak a modest lifestyle which is manifested by its simple, unadorned, unpretentious buildings. This modest lifestyle has continued to the present; most new houses are being built for families of modest means. It is important to consider this character of Gloucester in planning for the town’s future.”¹¹

¹¹ Ibid Number 11, p 14



The Location of
The Town of Glocester
In the State of
Rhode Island.

RIGIS
Copyright (c) 1999 RIGIS
Board of Directors for
Regional Information
and Geographic Services
RI 02908



MAP I
STATE OF RHODE ISLAND
GLOCESTER LOCATIONAL MAP

PART I, GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Land Use Element

3.2 Goals

1. Preserve, enhance and protect Gloucester's rural character and sense of place.
2. Encourage responsible land use decisions by public officials and public bodies.
3. Take steps that enable Gloucester to be a pleasurable place in which to live, work and raise a family.
4. Prevent undesirable suburbanization and its related characteristics from occurring in the Town.
5. Allow Gloucester's rural way of life to be enjoyed by future generations.
6. Have the Town remain a "greenbelt oasis" in an otherwise intensely developed urban corridor.

3.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Endeavor to recognize, restore, preserve, and enhance the heritage of Gloucester.
2. Enable local land use regulatory bodies to establish "greenbelts" as part of a development approval process.
3. Create a method to preserve, protect, enhance and maintain the noteworthy physical attributes of the Town, while allowing for appropriate development to occur.
4. Encourage public officials' understanding of traditional and contemporary methods of land-use management and community-planning techniques.
5. Implement a policy of balanced interaction between the people and environment.
6. Create a land-development regulatory framework that can protect and enhance the unique natural features and historical nature of Gloucester while simultaneously providing opportunities for economic livelihood and remaining an affordable community.

3.4 Recommendations

1. Revise the current zoning ordinance to comply with the Rhode Island Zoning Enabling Act.
2. Consider the establishment and maintenance of historic district zoning for the villages of Gloucester.
3. Determine whether a mixed-use zoning district is appropriate for the village areas as recommended in the Chepachet Village Plan.
4. Establish zoning, subdivision and/or land development regulations to address the following issues:
 - a. the preservation, protection and enhancement of surface and groundwater resources;
 - b. flexible zoning techniques to encourage resource and open space protection such as clustering, residential compound and planned-district development;
 - c. building and site - design standards site plan requirements and approval process;
 - d. enable public input throughout the entire development review and approval process;
 - e. regulations to protect and promote agricultural uses and animal husbandry and prevent their being displaced or restrained by residential use;
 - f. standards for home occupations and accessory uses that do not disrupt the residential use or character of an area;
 - g. adoption of design site plan, review and performance standards for non-residential land use that considers the Town's review capabilities and promotes Gloucester's sense of place; while providing resource protection.
 - h. establish standards that consider site-specific and area wide environmental impacts resulting from any land development proposal;

- i. establish zoning districts and zoning, subdivision and land development regulations that promote, protect, restore and enhance the desirable characteristics of the Town and its resources and promote the concept of a sustainable community which will implement this Comprehensive Plan, such as:
 1. to define land unsuitable for development by setting forth standards and criteria determining minimum buildable lot area for subdivision and land development purposes;
 2. to promote clear distinctions between neighborhood, village, and highway commercial zoning districts;
 3. to provide for an open space/conservation zoning district for open space, conservation, wildlife management, and recreation lands under public or non-public ownership, including areas reserved or devoted to natural resource protection. Identify need for cultural or natural resource overlay zoning district protection as appropriate;
 4. to set forth clear objectives and standards for permanent dedication of open space, protection of groundwater resources, scenic view protection, shared vehicular access where appropriate, development intensity, landscape treatment, impervious surface coverage, and drainage as flexible zoning techniques particularly for Planned Development, Residential Compound provisions, and certain large scale development projects;
 5. to provide clear objectives, criteria and standards for review of Historic District zoning requirements;
 6. to establish an appropriate village zoning district such as recommended by the Chepachet Village plan with use, dimensional, and site plan regulations suitable to the Village as a special planning area;
 7. to undertake further evaluation of and potential for implementation of the areas designated on the future land use map for possible zoning to non-residential use, open space, or village mixed use zoning categories;
 8. to develop a design manual of flexible land development techniques acceptable to the Town and meeting objectives of the Plan, such as encouraged by the Scituate Reservoir Zoning Project.
 - j. create a new zoning district map that properly allocates land use according to available resources.
5. Evaluate the acceptability and appropriateness of establishing zoning regulations to address the following issues:
 - a. large lots with insufficient frontage, sometimes referred to as “backlots” or “land locked parcels;”
 - b. reduced lot sizes and/or building envelopes to preserve desirable site amenities;
 - c. “flexible” building envelopes for single-family lot development that encourages the retention of desirable site amenities and the protection of natural and cultural resources;
 - d. mechanisms to enable regulatory bodies to create greenbelts or natural corridors as a condition of the development review and approval process, and;
 - e. allow for an administrative development review process by designated Town officials.
 6. Evaluate whether a growth management ordinance should be adopted by the Town that includes some of the following features:
 - a. threshold criteria to activate the ordinance regulations;
 - b. regulations and standards that are uniform and applied by a formula basis with little, if any, discretionary powers granted to the administering body or agency;

- c. designation of the Planning Board to prepare an annual report and capital budget request detailing Town compliance and conformance to the comprehensive community plan and proposed expenditure levels and improvements from the exaction account;
 - d. creation of quantifiable evidence to establish and justify the need to manage Town growth, including the type, location, level and features deserving management;
 - e. growth management techniques such as, a fair share development fund, or impact fee, either monetary or other asset-based, that are formula-derived and uniformly applied, to be placed in a restricted receipts account for use on predetermined acceptable activities;
 - f. regulations and standards should manage the scale, level and intensity of growth using a normative format typically based on an annual cycle (e.g. no more than twenty building permits for new home construction shall be issued in any one calendar year), and;
 - g. perform a scenario outcome analysis of community indicators (i.e. tax rate, school population, road maintenance budget, etc.) on a managed v. non-managed basis for a predetermined time period, say five years, and evaluate the outcomes to help determine whether the ordinance is justified.
7. Form a liaison with an institution of higher education, such as the University of Rhode Island Graduate Department of Community Planning and Landscape Architecture or the Cooperative Extension service to establish a periodic educational workshop/training seminar for all town officials involved with planning, zoning or land use regulations or development decisions.
 8. Amend the Gloucester Subdivision Regulations to comply with the Rhode Island Land Development and Subdivision Review Enabling Act and incorporate new regulations that help Gloucester retain its desirable features and characteristics.
 9. Evaluate alternatives and implement the appropriate objectives and recommendations as they relate to land use issues of the “Wastewater Facilities Management Plan” approved by the R.I. Department of Environmental Management.
 10. Evaluate alternatives and implement appropriate objectives and recommendations of the “Chepachet Village Planning Project” as they relate to land use issues.
 11. Encourage Town participation in and support for the objectives of the American Heritage River Program for the Blackstone and Woonasquatucket Rivers, including the programs administered by the Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Commission.
 12. Consider the desirability of allowing home occupations, “with certain restrictions” in all residential zones, as a way of creating an economic development option. (Moved from 4.4.d)

Housing

4.1 Housing

4.2 Goals

1. Maintain, protect and enhance Gloucester's desirable living attributes.
2. Allow a full range of housing options, including style, setting, cost and location.
3. Encourage the provision of innovative housing layout, design and living arrangements that allow afford ability, choice and compliance with the state building codes for accessibility.
4. Remain flexible to current and future economic, social and environmental characteristics by allowing housing opportunities for all citizens.

4.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Recognize, restore, preserve and enhance the historical nature and design of Gloucester's housing stock.
2. Encourage retention of low-density housing development throughout most of the Town, while allowing other density and mixed-use options in the village areas.
3. Consider the special physical, social or economic needs of citizens when adopting housing design, density or locational regulatory provisions.
4. Balance the needs of citizens' housing choices with the environmental development constraints prevalent in town.
5. Consider innovative or flexible housing design and layout regulations, such as those recommended in the Plan for Chepachet Village , that protect and enhance the unique living characteristics of Gloucester but that do not contribute to inflate housing cost unnecessarily.
6. Maintain Gloucester's role as a "greenbelt oasis" for the region while allowing for a broad range of housing options: choice, layout, location and cost all being important considerations.
7. To preserve and expand housing opportunities insured and/or assisted by the federal and/or state governments, including the provision of housing for special needs of Town residents.

4.4 Recommendations

1. As part of the Gloucester zoning ordinance revision, include the following actions:
 - a. creation of a "residential compound" zoning provision to enable flexible lot layouts and alternative zoning dimensional standards administered through the subdivision review process;
 - b. review of the Planned-District regulations for adequacy and acceptability to protect, preserve and enhance the Town's unique living characteristics and their ability to offer cost-effective housing options, and;
 - c. establishment of a process of review for accessory and in-law apartments in either all or some residential districts.
2. Consider the acceptability and appropriateness of the following issues for future action:
 - a. the creation of a mixed-use village zone or special-use permit to allow residential and non-residential uses to occupy the same structure, provided the site meets adequate environmental performance standards, and;
 - b. review the Town zoning district map and consider whether additional special districts, such as floating, overlay or incentive zones, should be established.
3. Facilitate the rehabilitation and change of use, as appropriate, of the existing housing stock, such as the rehabilitation and/or conversion of unused and underused village center structures for accessory or studio residential units.
4. Support the efforts of Western Rhode Island Home Repair to upgrade the existing housing supply for eligible recipients.

5. Determine whether the State Minimum Housing Code meets the code compliance needs of the Town. If not, State Housing Code amendments will be recommended to the State Building Official.
6. Establish a housing information center in the Gloucester Building Official's office and the local libraries for citizens to use as a reference source.
7. Provide opportunities for the provision of low and moderate income housing in accordance with local needs.

5.1 Economic Development

5.2 Goals

1. Allow for future economic development to occur that does not conflict with or degrade the desirable attributes of the Town and that help to sustain the Gloucester Vision.
2. Prevent the traditional problems created by economic growth, such as environmental degradation, dramatic changes to community character, and unsightly highway strip development, from occurring in the Town.
3. Broaden and diversify the Town's economic base to reduce the residential sector's property tax burden.
4. Encourage efforts that use the natural features of Gloucester as an inducement to foster economic activity and growth.

5.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Encourage the growth of economic activities that have a market focus at the neighborhood or local levels.
2. Recognize, preserve, protect and enhance the historical pattern of Town development by concentrating properly scaled and designed economic activities to designated, commercially zoned areas.
3. Prevent highway strip development from occurring within the Town.
4. Allow for alternative means of employment opportunities to be available to citizens, such as home occupations that are technology-dependent and which cause no noticeable disruption to the living environment of residential areas.
5. Support tourism initiatives that promote the historical, environmental, aesthetic and cultural aspects of the Town, and in Chepachet Village.
6. Implement and support appropriate regional economic development initiatives that complement the Town's economic development goals.

5.4 Recommendations

1. Use the resources of area colleges and universities to aid in the formation of an economic development program.
2. Support the efforts of the Gloucester Economic Development Commission and the Chepachet Village Planning Committee to attract business to the Town.
 - a. Implement the goals and objectives of the Plan For Chepachet Village.
 - b. Implement the goals and objectives of the Wastewater Facilities Management Plan approved by the Department of Environmental Management.
 - c. Encourage the Gloucester Historic District Commission and the Chepachet Village Planning Committee's efforts to revitalize and enhance the historic aspects of the Town as a means of tourism promotion.
3. Gloucester should rely on the regional economy to provide employment opportunities for citizens, and work to encourage a more diverse local economic base that is sensitive to the Town's character.
4. Undertake tourism promotion efforts that recognize and promote Gloucester's natural features and desirable living environment for active and passive recreational endeavors.
5. Continue participation in the Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor to encourage tourism visits to the Town.

6. Include the following topics in the zoning ordinance revision:
 - a. create a home occupation use to allow citizens partial use of their residencies for economic gain, provided that appropriate standards are established to protect the integrity of residential areas from undesirable business activities;
 - b. establish design criteria for and require commercial site designs to be submitted for review and approval as part of a site plan review procedure prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, and;
 - c. restrict commercial zoning district map changes to areas properly located and suitable to support business uses without adversely affecting the Town's character.
7. Consider the appropriateness and acceptability of the following issues for future action:
 - a. establish a Village Zone district in designated areas to allow for mixed-use activities such as business and residential uses in the same building, alternative dimensional regulations and incentives for the rehabilitation and innovative use of historic structures such as recommended in the Chepachet Village Planning Project;
 - b. evaluate the feasibility of creating a Commerce Cluster Zone that encourages appropriately scaled and designed business uses to locate in compact, clustered arrangements set back from a road, with one entrance and exit, and;
 - c. provisions to continue the support of agriculture and animal husbandry activities, such as a farmers market.
8. Support expansion of the Town's economic base in instances that are appropriate, compatible and consistent with the Goals, Objectives and provisions of this Plan.

Natural and Cultural Resources

6.1 Natural and Cultural Resources

6.2 Goals

1. To identify, preserve, enhance and conserve the many natural resources of Gloucester that give the Town its special character and sense of place.
2. To manage the Town's natural and cultural resources wisely in order to maintain Gloucester's character and role as a "greenbelt oasis" for the region and state.
3. To promote the wise and efficient use of natural resources.
4. To prevent the loss, destruction or misuse of natural and cultural resources in order to preserve the special character and sense of place of Gloucester.

6.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Adopt land use and intensity standards that are based on the "carrying capacity" principle. (i.e. a principle that land use intensity be based on the ability of the land to sustain development)
2. Limit and manage the introduction of public and/or private facilities that would artificially alter the natural environment for the purpose of a particular use or intensity or group(s) of same.
3. Create performance standards for development that limit site disturbances and alterations affecting critical natural or cultural resources.
4. Protect the special cultural and natural resources that merit preservation with management controls to prevent inappropriate development actions.
5. Impress Gloucester elected and appointed officials, citizen activists, the business community, the youth and the general public with the importance of preserving and managing the Town's natural and cultural resources.
6. Understand and prevent inappropriate use of the land that exerts either direct or secondary detrimental impact on the natural or cultural environment of the Town.
7. Strive to identify, protect, preserve, enhance and renew the cultural aspects that contribute to Gloucester's character.
8. Promote and adopt the principle of balanced interaction between the people and the environment, as a means to assure that resources are conserved.
9. Develop groundwater and wellhead protection strategies designed to protect the groundwater which is the Town's sole source of water supply.

6.4 Recommendations

1. Suggest to the Gloucester School Committee and the Foster-Glocester Regional School Committee that instructional offerings be provided to students regarding the natural and cultural resources and history of Gloucester and the importance of the environment to the Town's quality of life.
2. Conduct workshops, perhaps as a lecture series or coffee hours, to involve public officials and the community at large to discuss proper ways to preserve and manage the cultural, historical and natural resources of Gloucester.

A. Natural Resources

1. Use the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and Capital Budget process to provide annual Planning Board input on the short and long- range needs of the community. Include in that process a Resource Evaluation Statement (RES) of the impacts related to the proposed services or facilities on the Town's natural and/or cultural resources. The RES should evaluate build, partial build and no build conclusions for each CIP proposal.

Natural and Cultural Resources

2. Maintain Town compliance with the R.I. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Act and continue to assist the Building Official's Office to administer the Act locally.
3. Create a Natural Resources Preservation Ordinance for the protection and identification of critical natural resources deserving protection from inappropriate alterations and enumerate regulatory standards to enable the Town to control and manage development actions. Further study will be required to properly identify and map such critical natural areas. This ordinance might include features more stringent than current Individual Septic Disposal System standards or surface runoff water quality standards in areas where groundwater contamination is of concern. In addition, a Site Assessment could be required to list the specific natural features of a site prior to any development action and then use the gathered information to formulate an environmentally appropriate site-development plan.
4. Consider the adoption of the following natural resources protection standards in Zoning or other Ordinance updates: including but not limited to:
 - a. groundwater protection standards to prevent pollution of public groundwater wells, their drawdown and recharge areas as well as for private wells;
 - b. water quality standards to prevent pollution of recreational and potable surface water bodies from surface runoff or groundwater leaching;
 - c. design standards to allow flexible building-lot envelopes that preserve sensitive natural or historic resources, such as woodlands, wetlands, stone walls, cemeteries and wildlife habitats;
 - d. regulations to encourage special or sensitive feature preservation, such as solar access, ridge line protection, scenic vistas and farmland use;
 - e. density and land use regulations, perhaps as overlay districts, that consider site suitability to support development, such as soil types, slope, grade, water table depth, wetlands and water bodies, and;
 - f. design requirements for all land uses to preserve the natural resources through limits to site disturbances and enhancement actions such as plantings and aesthetics.
5. Amend the Gloucester Land Development and Subdivision Review Regulations to address the following issues:
 - a. require that all substantial subdivision proposals provide a site suitability evaluation as part of the subdivision approval process to help the Planning Board determine the ability of any site to support or "carry" the proposed development;
 - b. allow the option of residential compounds, where flexible design standards are employed to allow an environmentally sensitive development, to preserve and protect sensitive natural resources from unnecessary loss, damage or alteration;
 - c. include site-design parameters that offer incentives for lot-configuration where innovative design is applied to preserve and protect special site features;
 - d. provide a process for Planning Board review of minor and pre-existing plats to encourage replats that minimize site disturbance and preserve natural resources, and;
 - e. include performance and design standards and regulations in the Town's Zoning Ordinance, either consistent with, or derived from, the Natural Resources Preservation Ordinance, for all development proposals.
6. Protect the natural environment-related recreational and conservation opportunities available in Gloucester from undesirable or inappropriate loss, disturbance or alteration.
7. Adopt a local ordinance to prevent the burial of any underground storage tanks (UST), including those containing residential home heating fuel and less than 1,100 gallons in size. This effort should be preceded by a town-wide inventory to determine the existing

Natural and Cultural Resources

- number and location of underground storage tanks. The local program will be coordinated, and is intended to be complimentary to the R.I. Department of Environmental Management's Leaking Underground Storage Tank ("LUST") program.
8. Evaluate alternatives and implement the appropriate objectives and recommendations of the Wastewater Facilities Management Plan approved by the R.I. Department of Environmental Management as they relate to natural resource protection.
 9. Support Zoning and Subdivision and Land Development Regulations designed to protect and enhance surface and groundwater resources including measures to define and regulate land unsuitable for development, buildable lot area, open space, and conservation reservation, site plan criteria and review standards, and wellhead protection.
 10. Establish standards for the management of the Town's tree resources through adoption of appropriate regulations and procedures.

B. Cultural Resources

1. Cooperate with the RI Historical Preservation Commission to catalog and preserve the significant archeological sites and resources within the Town.
2. Support efforts to maintain and preserve the many historic Town cemeteries and strive to prevent development actions that cause significant disturbance, loss or alteration to these sites.
3. Perform the necessary surveys to determine the practicality of creating new historic districts, sites and interpretive programs in the Town.
4. Encourage agriculture-based operations, such as farms, equestrian centers, hayfields, woodland preserves and ranching.
5. Allow for cooperation between the Planning Board and the Historic District Commission through including the following issues as part of the zoning ordinance update:
 - a. standards to regulate the loss, disturbance or significant alteration of historically significant features such as stone walls, historic cemeteries and historical sites, places and landscapes;
 - b. regulations to require developers of "significant" projects to prepare and submit an historical features evaluation to the Planning Board that details the nature of the project, the expected changes resulting from the project and the anticipated impacts/effects upon Gloucester's historical resources and submitted for review by the Historic District Commission;
 - c. creation of a scenic roads zoning district, perhaps as an overlay or floating zone, that contains design standards to preserve and enhance certain roads of historic or scenic importance, and;
 - d. review the adequacy of the existing Historic District Zone for compliance with the latest state zoning enabling laws and to include appropriate standards and criteria for new construction as well as renovation, alteration, and signs within the Chepachet Village Historic District.
 - e. Evaluate alternatives and implement appropriate objectives and recommendations of the "Chepachet Village Planning Project" as they relate to cultural resource issues.
 - f. Identify and recommend scenic road designation where appropriate for participation in the State Scenic Roads Program (see also, 9.4.4).
 - g. Encourage participation in and support for the objectives of the American Heritage Rivers program for the Blackstone and Woonasquatucket Rivers, including programs

Natural and Cultural Resources

administered by the Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Commission as they relate to natural and cultural resources in Gloucester.

Services and Facilities

7.1 Services and Facilities

7.2 Goals

1. To provide for a planned, economically sound and functionally adequate community facility and service network for Gloucester's current and expected future community needs.
2. To be sensitive to the relationship between the level and type of community facilities and services and the municipal property tax burden.
3. Protect Gloucester's sense of place through the appropriate design installation, use and provision of community facilities and services.
4. Preserve, restore and enhance the natural environment of the Town through growth management, and consideration of environmental impacts of development.

7.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Prefer that development projects be self-sustaining and not require future revenue expenditures, to the greatest extent practicable through measures, for example, that the Town establish development impact or fair share development fees.
2. Protect potable groundwater and surface water from contamination.
3. Evaluate methods to restore and protect surface water bodies that have been subjected to pollution from past development practices.
4. Be wary of accepting or obtaining public responsibility for facilities that could remain in private ownership or care.
5. Support the School Committees efforts to provide every school-age child access to high-quality educational experience and environment.
6. Ensure that public safety services be readily available throughout the Town.
7. Provide community facilities, such as schools, recreational areas and community centers, to offer Gloucester's citizens opportunities for personal enjoyment, enrichment and growth.
8. Control property tax expenditures related to community services and facilities.
9. Support efforts to regionalize community facilities and services when deemed appropriate and feasible.

7.4 Recommendations

1. Continue to support the efforts of the Wastewater Management Commission formed by the Town Council by implementing the Wastewater Facilities Plan approved by the R.I. Department of Environmental Management. For example, work to implement the recommendations of the Commission submitted to the Town Council for consideration and action, to the extent that it is in the best interest of the Town.
Also, to refer to Report No. 89-01, Water Quality and Sewage Disposal in Chepachet Village, prepared by the Gloucester Conservation Commission and incorporate appropriate sections of this report into the latest effort to consider at this time.
2. Adopt potable groundwater and surface water protection and restoration regulations, perhaps as part of the zoning ordinance update. Standards controlling septic material and surface water runoff quality should also be considered for adoption.
3. Continually evaluate needs for local government services and facilities, municipal and educational, and articulate them in the Town Budget, the Capital Budget and the Capital Improvement Program for presentation, consideration and action at the annual Town Financial Meeting.
4. Coordinate and evaluate the provision of all public safety services, paid and volunteer, through support of the Public Safety Committee, to ensure that service coverage and response times meet optimum levels.

5. Encourage the continuation of the present volunteer firefighter system by using the Public Safety Committee to review alternatives to promote community participation.
6. Provide that every “major” private development proposal be required to perform a Fiscal Impact Assessment to determine the extent and cost (or benefit) that will accrue to the Town.
7. Institute a wellhead-protection program that includes potential pollution source inventory, protection strategies and contingency plans, all in accordance with the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management, Wellhead Protection Program.
8. Provide for contingency plans that would assure potable water supplies to Gloucester citizens in case of need.
9. Encourage the continuation of the current solid waste and recycling collection system.
10. Support the operations of the Town libraries to provide Gloucester citizens with current and convenient library services.
11. Implement a site plan review procedure to coordinate local review of large scale development proposals.
12. Implement a Capital Improvement Program (CIP) involving all Town departments and agencies, with approvals by the Planning Board for Comprehensive Plan consistency and by the Town Council for policy decisions.

Open Space and Recreation

8.1 Open Space and Recreation

8.2 Goals

1. Promote passive and active recreation (indoor and outdoor) opportunities for all citizens to invigorate, renew and restore themselves.
2. Acquire, protect, preserve, conserve and manage the many unique natural resources in Gloucester, such as bogs, swamps, wetlands and woodlands, from loss, significant alteration or disturbance.
3. Protect open space in Gloucester from inappropriate alteration, loss or disturbance.
4. Remain aware of the limited nature of the natural environment, that the community's prosperity should be based on appropriate use of natural resources and that open space, conservation and recreation opportunities are dynamically linked to Gloucester's sense of place.

8.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Establish and support the use of creative and appropriate land strategies and conservation methods.
2. Encourage the efforts and involvement of private interests working for the public benefit, along with public efforts, to acquire, preserve, restore and manage open space, recreation and conservation sites and areas in the Town.
3. Consider measures that will control or reduce the financial burden upon Gloucester residents to manage open space, conservation and indoor and outdoor recreation activities.
4. Keep Gloucester eligible for participation in current and future state outdoor recreation, conservation and open space funding programs, so far as it is in the interest of the Town.
5. Support awareness of Gloucester citizens on the vital relationship between the land and people.
6. Support regional and statewide efforts to conserve open space, recreation and conservation opportunities in the Town.
7. Attempt to link open space, recreation and conservation areas in the Town to form the basis of a "greenbelt" network.

8.4 Recommendations

1. Submit the recreation projects listed in the acquisition schedule listed in Table 16.4 for inclusion in the Capital Budget and Capital Improvement Program for consideration and action by the Town Meeting.
2. Encourage the designation of private-interest groups or individuals to "adopt" a specific public site or area to provide care and management.
3. Involve the Recreation Advisory Committee, Conservation Commission and Land Trust Commission on all projects involving open space, recreation and conservation actions.
4. Promote cooperation and coordination between education facilities and the Town for generalized recreation use.
5. Create a "greenbelt" system, perhaps radiating from the village of Chepachet, that offers a series of walkways and bicycle paths among significant historic and recreation sites and areas.
6. Support the efforts of the Gloucester Land Trust to acquire and maintain open space and areas throughout the Town and to promote "linkage" of sites into a comprehensive "greenbelt" system where feasible.
7. Encourage alternative means, such as conservation restrictions, development rights restrictions and easements, to gain control of or provide access to open space, conservation and recreation areas and sites.
8. Consider the following issues for inclusion in the zoning ordinance update:

- a. create a new zone, perhaps labeled a Conservation /Open Space District, to designate and provide additional zoning protection and land use authority against conversion, for all publicly owned land and land dedicated for conservation, open space or recreation uses;
 - b. include a provision for permanent land dedication or development restrictions granted to the Town in “major” developments;
 - c. adopt environmental standards and regulations that limit site disturbance, loss or significant alteration of open space and conservation sites and areas; and,
 - d. establish design standards and an administrative review process for building sites that enhance, restore and preserve the natural features of sites.
9. Revise the Gloucester Land Development and Subdivision Review Regulations and Zoning Planned Development and other special regulations to require a public land dedication, reservation or other appropriate mechanism to provide recreational opportunities or permanently preserve significant conservation or open space features.
 10. Consider adopting Growth Impact Assessment Ordinance regulations that establish either a fee, a dedicated fund or a dedication or a restriction for a public purpose and use, such as open space, recreation or conservation activities, from each “major” development project.
 11. Provide for periodic update of Town recreation needs assessment in order identify appropriate recreational opportunities in consideration of the needs for all residents and to provide for Town participation and inclusion in the Statewide Outdoor Recreation Program. (SCORP)
 12. Establish the need for a public swimming facility to serve West Gloucester.

9.1 Circulation

9.2 Goals

1. Retain the character of Gloucester while also accommodating citizen and commerce transportation needs.
2. Create, maintain and preserve a safe and efficient multi-modal circulation system.

9.3 Objectives and Policies

1. Use the transportation network to limit and manage growth throughout the Town.
2. Establish road design and use standards that support the preservation of the Town's character and provide orderly and safe circulation movements.
3. Support the creation of a multi-modal transportation network that complements the tourism and recreation efforts to attract visitors to Gloucester.
4. Adopt a process to review and consider requests by citizens to use platted, unapproved existing roads.
5. Limit and manage access points along all roadways.
6. Create road design and maintenance procedures that preserve, restore and enhance the natural environment with compatible road ways.

9.4 Recommendations

1. Adopt a Highway Access Ordinance that would require the issuance of a Highway Access Permit by the Town. This regulatory process could be included in either the zoning, subdivision or growth-management ordinances. Features of such an ordinance might include:
 - a. limiting and managing the quantity, location and design of access points;
 - b. encouraging or requiring the establishment of shared driveways;
 - c. mandating the creation of frontage roads in commercial and/or residential areas;
 - d. managing the environmental impacts of the proposed access drive(s); and,
 - e. establishing design standards for the functional and aesthetic aspects of the proposed drive and its intersection with the existing road.
2. Establish an ordinance to enable Town review and approval of any development proposing access over platted, unapproved existing roadways.
3. Encourage the creation of new road design standards that address environmental quality and aesthetic impacts. For example, consider reduced road-width standards in "minor" subdivisions, flexible access standards for special types of developments distinguishing between private access driveways and private roads, an equitable policy for converting private roads to public roads with standards and procedures for public acceptance, separation of vehicle lanes from pedestrian or bicycle paths, and water runoff collection and distribution mechanisms that preserve and/or enhance water quality.
4. Participate in the State's Scenic Highway Program and/or establish a local scenic highway program to identify and protect existing roadways that are considered of unique value and significance to Gloucester's character. The Planning Board and the Historic District Commission should cooperate to determine whether a scenic roads overlay district should be established within Chepachet Village. This effort should complement and implement the scenic roads inventory prepared by the state, as appropriate.
5. Contribute information to the Rhode Island Department of Transportation and State Planning Council, R.I. Transportation Improvement Program to manage state transportation activities within the Town.

6. Enable the Town to remain eligible to receive funding for transportation improvements, and Enhancement projects insofar as it is in the best interest of the Town by participation in the State Transportation Improvement Program process.
7. Create an Overlay Management Program that lists a five-year overlay maintenance plan and budget for Town-owned roads. Proceeds from a growth impact or dedicated development fund for roadway improvements, if adopted, should be used to help fund this account.
8. Strive to create an alternative transportation network in Gloucester that might center in Chepachet and radiate outwards to Town- owned facilities, such as Gloucester Memorial Park, significant historical sites, natural features, and/or noteworthy conservation areas. This effort might encourage the establishment of bicycle and/or pedestrian paths, greenways, interpretive trails, and complement efforts for tourism promotion.
9. Work with Rhode Island Public Transit Authority to schedule more appropriate bus service to the Town that better meets commuter transportation needs, and to ensure that such needs are understood at all levels.
10. Provide input to the RI Department of Transportation road design and improvement endeavors in the Town to protect, preserve and restore:
 - a. the rural character;
 - b. historic structures, districts, landscapes, homes and resources;
 - c. vegetative cover and tree canopies;
 - d. Gloucester's sense of place, and;
 - e. travel safety.For example, work with the Rhode Island Department of Transportation to implement the proposed two-lane upgrade of US 44.
11. Evaluate alternatives and implement appropriate objectives and recommendations of the "Chepachet Village Planning Project" as they relate to the Circulation Element, and in particular, as they relate to planned State of RI Highway improvement or enhancement projects and improvements to the parking and pedestrian circulation patterns within and serving the Village of Chepachet.

10.1 IMPLEMENTATION

No matter the quality of this comprehensive plan, only its implementation by the Town will ensure that the ideas, goals, objectives, policies and recommendations come to fruition. The Town has taken the step to help realize the fulfillment of the Gloucester Vision by establishing a listing of actions, responsible individuals and agencies, and a task completion time line for each recommendation contained in this plan. This information is contained in the Implementation Program: Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan.

The Town gave consideration to the requirement that each implementation task should be assigned to a person or agency that was capable and qualified to perform the necessary work. Also, when a need for coordination between two or more agencies was required, the task was assigned as Primary and Joint Responsibility to avoid implementation confusion. Lastly, all tasks were defined as short-range, one to two years in duration; medium-range, three to five years in duration; and long-range, six or more years in duration. Any task defined as long-range will need to be addressed in the next update of this plan, which must occur in at least five years.

The Town has established the Gloucester Comprehensive Plan Coordinating Committee to oversee implementation of the Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan. In addition, the Coordinating Committee will also serve to oversee amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and periodically report on progress and activities set forth in the implementation program.

All capital and operating budget expenses necessary for implementation of the Plan shall be reviewed by the Financial Town Meeting. A long range Capital Improvement Program shall be implemented to review all major capital budget requests. An Operational Budget Program shall be used to process all operational expenses.

The Implementation table is designed to illustrate specific actions to be taken, their corresponding objectives from the Comprehensive Plan, the parties responsible to take action and the time frame for their accomplishment. The first column contains an action item with a corresponding objective(s) or recommendation(s) that it accomplishes in the Comprehensive Plan. You will find the letters J, P and S in each of the next columns, which refers to the type of responsibility each group/ party has to accomplish the task (J- Joint responsibility, P-Primary responsibility). The final column lists the time-frame to accomplish each action item (S-short is 1-2 years, M-Medium is 3-4 years, L- Long 6 or more years, O-Ongoing).

10.1 Implementation Program:

Glocester Comprehensive Community Plan

<i>10.2 ACTIONS</i>	<i>RESPONSIBLE GROUPS/INDIVIDUALS</i>																
	Town Council	School Committee	Town Meeting	Planning Board	Zoning Board	Historic District Commission	Economic Development	Chepachet Village Committee	Recreation Commission	Western Home Repair	Conservation Commission	Building Official	Public Works Director	Land Trust	Wastewater Commission	Town Planner	Time-Frame
3.4.1; 4.4.1-3 Zoning Ordinance Update	J			P	J	J	J	J			J	J			J	J	S
3.4.2 Historic Zone	J			J	J	P					J					J	S
3.4.3 & 4.4.2 Mixed-use Zone	J			P	J	J	J	J			J					J	S
3.4.4; 3.4.5; & 3.4.6, 5.4.7, 6.4A Resource Protection and Growth Ordinance	P			J			J	J		J			J	J	J	J	L
3.4.7 Training Workshops	P		J	J	J	J	J	J	J		J	J	J	J	J	J	M
3.4.8 Subdivision Reg. Update	J			P							J					J	S
3.4.9, 5.4.3 Wastewater Program	J			J			J		J					P	J	J	S
3.4.10, 5.4.3, 5.4.8, 9.4.11 Chepachet Village Plan	J			J		J	J	P			J		J	J	J	J	S
3.4.11 American Heritage Rivers	P			J						J			J		J	J	M
4.4.5 Housing Code Update	P								J		J						M
4.4.4 Home Repair Aid	J								P					J	J	J	M
3.4.1 & 4.4.2 Innovative Zones	J			P	J	J	J	J		J				J	J	J	M
4.4.6 Housing Information	J								J		P					J	M
5.4.1-9 Business Resources	J						P	J						J			M
5.4.1-9 Economic Initiatives	J			J			P	J						J			M
5.4.3-8 Historic Enhancement	J			J		P	J	J	J		J				J	J	M

Implementation

10.2 ACTIONS	RESPONSIBLE GROUPS/INDIVIDUALS																
	Town Council	School Committee	Town Meeting	Planning Board	Zoning Board	Historic District Commission	Economic Development	Chepachet Village Committee	Recreation Commission	Western Home Repair	Conservation Commission	Building Official	Public Works Director	Land Trust	Wastewater Commission	Town Planner	Time-Frame
5.4.3-6 Tourism Promotion	J					J	P	J	J		J						M
5.4.7(b) Commerce Cluster Zone	J			J			P	J				J	J			J	M
6.4.1 & 2 Educational Opportunities	P			J		J			J		J			J	J		M
6.4.A.1, 7.4.12, 8.42 Capital Improvement Program	J	J	J	P			J	J	J				J	J	J	J	S
6.4.A.2 Soil Erosion Ordinance	J			J							J	P	J				M
6.4.A.3 Natural Resources Act	J			J					J		P			J	J	J	M
6.4.A.5 & 6 Site Suitability Evaluation	J			P							J	J	J		J	J	S
6.4.B.1 Historical & Cultural Catalog		J				P	J	J			J						M
6.4.B.2-5 Historic Features Evaluation	J					P		J									M
7.4.1 Wastewater Plan	J			J			J	J			J		J		P	J	S
7.4.2, 7 & 8 Groundwater Protection	P			J							J		J		J	J	M
7.4.4-6 Fiscal Impact Assessment	P	J		J			J	J					J			J	M
7.4.11 Site Plan Review Ordinance	P			J		J					J	J			J	J	M
8.4.1-5 Recreation Program	J			J					P		J			J		J	M
8.4.5 Greenbelt System	J			J	J				J		J			P		J	S

<i>10.2 ACTIONS</i>	<i>RESPONSIBLE GROUPS/INDIVIDUALS</i>																
	Town Council	School Committee	Town Meeting	Planning Board	Zoning Board	Historic District Commission	Economic Development	Chepachet Village Committee	Recreation Commission	Western Home Repair	Conservation Commission	Building Official	Public Works Director	Land Trust	Wastewater Commission	Town Planner	Time-Frame
8.4.5 & 6, and 7 Open Space Preservation	J			J				J	J		J			P		J	S
8.4.8-10 Conservation Controls	J			P					J		J			J	J	J	M
8.4.11-12 Recreation Needs	J			J					P		J		J	J		J	S
8.4.10 Growth Impact Act	P			J			J	J					J		J	J	M
9.4.1 Highway Access Ordinance	J			J			J	J					P			J	M
9.4.2 & 3 Road Use Policy	P			J			J	J					J			J	M
9.4.4 Scenic Highway Program	J			J		J	J	J	J		P		J			J	M
9.4.5 & 6 RIDOT Program	P			J			J	J					J			J	O
9.4.7 Overlay Management	P		J	J									J		J		L
9.4.8 Alternate Transit Network	P			J				J					J			J	L
9.4.10 Road Design Coordination	J			P		J		J			J		J				S

Responsibility:

J = Joint
P = Primary

Time Frame:

L = Long (6 or more years)
M = Medium (3-5 years)
S = Short (1-2 years)
O = On-going (current/active)

PART II, PLAN CONTENTS

11.1 Land Use

11.2 Introduction

“The Land Use Plan is the principal element of any local comprehensive plan. Since consideration of the use and treatment of land crosses the boundary into the purview of other required elements, it is essential that this element be formulated in coordination with the other elements, sharing goals, policies and certain implementation steps.

“As a minimum, the Land Use Plan must consider the allocation of land for residence, business, industry, municipal affairs, public and private recreation, major institutional facilities, mixed uses, open space and natural and fragile areas. Optimum intensities and standards of development must be established for each classification and location, based upon current development, natural land characteristics; and projected municipal, regional and state services and facilities.

“Allocations of land use must consider impacts on surface and groundwater resources, wetlands, coastal features, and other sensitive and fragile natural resources. Judgements must be made on the ability of various existing and new land use controls to properly protect these natural resources.

“The characteristics, trends and projections of population and employment will be essential input to the allocation of land areas for use. ... The plan should show how the projections have been used to determine amounts of land needed for residential, [commercial] industrial and other purposes. These quantitative relationships carry over to other elements of the plan in that certain facilities and services are needed to support the land allocated to each use.

“The ability of the regional transportation network to provide for the movement of persons and goods will exert an influence on the allocation of land for use.

“The Land Use Plan must be supplemented by a map that graphically portrays the policy of the city or town with regard to the planned treatment and use of land. ... it (the map) must be of sufficient scale and accuracy to allow for the following: a visual determination of the policies and goals of the municipality for the future use and intensity of development of all land and water bodies ... a determination of consistency of the Land Use Plan with the State Guide Plan, and; a determination of the consistency of the Land Use Plan with the current zoning ordinance and map, and use as a basis for the revision or replacement of inconsistent zoning requirements, subdivision regulations and other land use controls.

“It is expected that this land use plan map will show features from other comprehensive plan elements such as open space, recreation, economic development community facilities, natural areas and others that project the allocation of land or special treatment.”⁹

11.3 Land Use History

Section 2.2 of the Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan provides a narrative of the Town’s historical evolution. From its inception, Gloucester has been considered the “outlands and Providence

⁹ Ibid Number 6, pp IV-11 & 12

Woods.” It is uncertain as to the proper connotation of such terms, although many people infer this term to represent a remote and/or rural setting. This assumption may be accurate since most of the land in Gloucester has never been improved nor cultivated. Although most of the land in Town was never cultivated, agricultural use was the principal active land use for much of the Town’s history. Small, isolated areas supported commerce, transportation and manufacturing land uses.

Gloucester’s concentration on farming started ebbing from the late-1700's to the mid-1850's as the nation’s economy began to shift from agrarian to industrial. People left farms for occupational opportunities in the villages and the cities. Although Gloucester provided some manufacturing employment opportunities, farming remained as the predominant land use. From the mid-1850's through the next century, Gloucester’s land use activities and population count remained relatively stable. That all began to change dramatically with the advent of the automobile and the related transportation system improvements.

The transportation revolution that the car created significantly altered land use activities occurring in the Town. The once-active farms lay fallow as employment opportunities in the Providence metropolitan area came within automobile commuting distance. In addition, the abundance of available land and the convenient transportation network attracted many metropolitan dwellers to consider Gloucester for their residences. The technological and cultural changes occurring at this time introduced new demands for land use. Concepts such as leisure time, active and passive recreation pursuits and physical restoration brought people who were searching for a better living environment to Gloucester.

11.4 Existing Conditions

Prior to 1965 when Gloucester adopted its first zoning ordinance, land use, intensity and location were primarily determined by matters of commerce and personal choice. Villages grew near areas of manufacturing activity and/or transportation systems. Farms were scattered throughout the remainder of the community. Most of the land remained fallow or unimproved due to its physical limitations for farming or community development. High water tables, wetlands, slope and stoniness were the dominating features characteristic of Gloucester’s land that constrained and directed development activities.

The first zoning effort sought to establish a logical assembly and order of land uses. Due to the low-intensity development and rural nature of the Town’s historical development, the land area was zoned for low-density residential use with a small allowance for commerce uses around the village centers. Several evolutionary amendments were made to this original zoning ordinance. However, the historical pattern of development was affirmed through the first and subsequent zoning ordinances. Eventually, the Town upgraded portions of the zoning code to offer basic regulations to protect and enhance certain environmental features. Map 11.1 illustrates the current land use in Town.

Table 11.1
LAND USE

Land Use Description	Area in Acres	Percent of Town Area
Undeveloped Land	32,495	89.3
Developed Land	3,893	10.7
Total Land	36,388	100.0

Map 11.1: Existing Land Use

In 1988, nearly 90 percent of the land in Gloucester was in an undeveloped state. Only 10 percent was devoted to developed uses. This fact is visually illustrated in Map 11.1, GLOCESTER LAND USE MAP. Development has focused in areas contiguous to arterial and collector roads, waterways and plains suitable for farming practices. Much of the land area of the Town was originally bypassed for development due to the stoniness of the soil, high groundwater table, wetlands, uneven topography and remoteness from supplies and markets. As technological improvements occurred that rendered some of the land's physical constraints less potent, the development potential of the Town's land area increased.

Hypothetically, most of the land area in the Town could be devoted to more intense, developed uses should the land be able to sustain such other uses, from a natural and regulated aspect, and should a demand exist. To determine whether this eventuality is possible, an examination of the current undeveloped land must occur.

Table 11.2
UNDEVELOPED LAND USES

Land Use Code	Land Use Description	Area in Acres	Percent of Town
210	Pasture	708	1.95
220	Cropland	784	2.15
230	Orchards/Groves/Nurseries	238	.65
250	Idle Agriculture	151	.41
310	Deciduous Forest	17,281	47.49
320	Evergreen Forest	1,535	4.22
330	Mixed-Deciduous	2,501	6.87
340	Mixed-Evergreen	3,421	9.40
400	Brushland	165	.45
500	Water	1,597	4.39
600	Wetlands	3,927	10.79
740	Gravel Pits	129	.35
750	Transitional Areas	58	.16
Total Undeveloped Land		32,495	89.30

Source: RIGIS 1988 Land Use and Land Cover Documentation

Of the undeveloped land uses, about 16 percent is classified as water or wetland. In addition, passive uses such as agriculture consume another nearly 6 percent of the undeveloped land area. Forest use, which comprises almost 68 percent of the undeveloped land use, may involve the active cultivation and harvesting of timber and related products. It is possible to conclude that up to 100 percent of the Town undeveloped land area is committed to an existing land use. However, transfers of land use will likely occur if demand and regulation provoke change.

Table 11.3
PUBLICLY OWNED UNDEVELOPED LAND

Site Name	Area in Acres	Percent of Area
George Washington	427	1.31
Durfee Hill	1,354	4.16
Killingly Pond	400	1.23
Sprague Farm	186	.57
Hawkins Pond	71	.21
Ponaganset Reservoir	249	.76
Phillips Farm	68	.20
Scotstun Town Forest	46	.14
Coomer Lake Reservoir	15	.04
Sandy Brook Estates	24	.07
Cora Kent Property	1.5	less than .01
Total Land Area	2,841.50	8.69

Source: Gloucester Planning Office 1993

Since the subset category of forest land comprises nearly 68 percent of the Town's total land area and 75 percent of the undeveloped land area, it is imperative then to determine what amount of this land area is potentially available for conversion to another, more intense land use. Table 11.3 indicates that about 9 percent of the undeveloped land area is preserved for conservation through public ownership. It is further estimated that another 1 percent is privately owned for conservation purposes. In total then, about 10 percent of the undeveloped land area will not be converted to another use. In addition, by including the wetland and water body areas, a total of 16 percent of the undeveloped land is restricted from conversion. In theory, then, 27,295 acres or nearly 84 percent of the undeveloped land area are potentially available for future conversion. This estimate does not consider other physical or legal limitations to the potential future development of this land. That issue will be considered in the carrying capacity section.

In summary then, of the 32,495 acres of undeveloped land area, nearly 90 percent of the Town's total land area, about 27,295 acres or 84 percent of the undeveloped land area may theoretically be transferred someday to a more intensive use. This theoretical development potential does not include adjustments for physical or legal considerations that may ultimately considerably reduce the amount of land area for the future. The carrying capacity section will discuss this matter in more detail and establish a realistic estimate of the undeveloped land area available for future development.

Table 11.4
DEVELOPED LAND USES

Land Use Code	Land Use Description	Area in Acres	Percent of Town
111	High Density >8 DU Acre	8	.02
112	Medium High 4-7.9 DU Acre	244	.67
113	Medium Density 1-3.9 DU Acre	2,013	5.53
114	Medium Low .5-.9 DU Acre	321	.88
115	Low Density <.5 DU Acre	580	1.59
120	Commercial Services	179	.49
130	Industrial	34	.09
145	Waste Disposal Areas	62	.17
147	Other	14	.04
154	Mixed Urban	5	.01
161	Developed Recreation	320	.88
162	Urban Open Space	16	.04
163	Urban Open Space, Cemeteries	29	.08
170	Institutional	68	.19
	Total Developed Land Uses	3,893	10.70

Source: RIGIS 1988 Land Use and Land Cover Documentation

Of the total developed land area, 3166 acres or 81 percent is consumed for residential land uses. Within the subset of housing, 2,013 acres or 64 percent are the 1 to 3.9 dwelling units per acre category of medium density. This characteristic may appear inaccurate when considering the large amount of undeveloped land and the relatively high housing density. It is not; this apparent fallacy is explained by the seasonal camps that were located on one-half acre or smaller lots surrounding the Town’s many water bodies which were eventually converted to year round residency. Homes on lots of two acres or more account for the second largest residential land use at 18 percent or 580 acres of the total residential land use.

The remaining 19 percent of the developed land is somewhat evenly distributed among a variety of other land uses, such as commercial, recreation and institutional categories. Of particular note is the less than 1 percent of commercial and manufacturing land uses. This small amount of land area for commerce means the Town has a small economic base when compared to its residential counterpart.

In summary then, 81 percent of the Town’s developed land area is consumed by residential uses, predominately 1 to 3.9 dwellings per acre. Many of these dwellings were formerly seasonal camps located on one-half acre or less sized lots along the many water bodies in the Town. Dwellings on lots of two or more acres in size account for the second largest residential land use, at 18 percent, and represent the newest form of residential land use demand. Less than 1 percent of the Town’s developed land area is devoted to commercial or manufacturing activities.

11.5 Population and Employment Trends

As a precursor to the buildout analysis, an evaluation of population and employment trends is required. This information can be used to gauge the level of demand for various land uses likely to occur over time and factor that demand into the availability of supply (i.e. potentially developable land area).

Table 11.5
POPULATION

Year	Persons	Percent Change
1960	3,300	N/A
1970	5,200	57
1980	7,550	45
1990	9,227	22
1960 - 1990	3,300 - 9,227	280

Source: US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census 1990

The population growth rate in Gloucester for the thirty year period 1960 - 1990 was 280 percent; an almost tripling of the population over the thirty years. The past decade of 1980 - 1990 evidenced a significant growth rate reduction of one-half the previous two decades. The State's population growth rate during this same decade was less than 6 percent. In comparison, Gloucester experienced population growth from 1980 - 1990 at 3.6 times the State's rate during the same time period.

To perform an estimate of future population projections for the purpose of this study, a modified trend extrapolation method shall be employed. The purpose of this exercise is merely to provide an indication of potential future demand represented as projected population growth. This information is simply meant as a guidepost and is not intended to be used as an especially accurate indicator of future population growth.

Given the growth for the past thirty years at 280 percent, that translates into an average growth rate per decade of about 90 percent. Since this rate is far above the actual decade growth rate for that period, and examination of the actual population counts reveals an average decade count increase of about 1975 persons or nearly 198 people per year. This rate appears to be relatively stable throughout the thirty year period, with a slight reduction tendency exhibited in the 1980 - 1990 decade. For the purpose of this plan, a population count increase of 198 persons per year will be used to estimate future population growth and its implications for land use consumption.

Table 11.6
FUTURE POPULATION PROJECTIONS

Year	Persons	Percent of Change
2000	11,207	21
2010	13,187	18
2020	15,167	15
1990 - 2020	9,227 - 15,167	64

Source: Gloucester Planning Office 1993

Table 11.6 offers one situation that Gloucester may experience. These population counts will be used for future land use allocation planning purposes. In determining the land capacity model, these population

projections will be compared to potential population absorption estimates. Discrepancies will be discussed, along with implications for future planning considerations.

Employment is also considered an indicator to help determine future land use consumption demand. To properly estimate potential future employment growth, an accurate understanding of the existing employment situation is required.

Table 11.7
EMPLOYMENT TRENDS

Labor Force Indicators	1990	1980	1970
Civilian Labor Force	5,144	3,556	2,111
Employed	4,839	3,323	2,021
Unemployed	305	233	90
Not in Labor Force	1,780	1,835	1,310
Males 16+ in Labor Force	2,789	1,443	1,351
Females 16+ in Labor Force	2,355	2,113	760

Source: RI Department of Economic Development & US Bureau of the Census 1990, 1980, 1970

Growth in the civilian labor force nearly parallels population-count growth. In addition, a significant trend of women entering the work force is evident from the more than doubling of the female labor force during a period when studies have show that the general population is evenly distributed between the genders. This information does show a significant increase in the civilian labor force. The demand for land consumption related to economic growth is related to the location of employment opportunities.

The Rhode Island Department of Economic Development conducted research that indicated of the 5,144 persons comprising the 1990 civilian labor force, only 592 were employed within the Town. Of those employed within the Town, two-thirds of those opportunities were in the retail and service sectors; sectors traditionally associated with low pay. The remaining employed persons travel outside the Town for employment opportunities. The lack of employment opportunities available in Town is further evidenced by the less than one percent of Town land area consumed for commerce or manufacturing activities. Unless a dramatic unexpected change occurs, future employment opportunities in Town are expected to remain relatively unavailable. Commercial use is not estimated to create a significant demand for future land use consumption and will not be considered a viable factor in the land capacity model.

In summary then, the rate of population increase is considered to be the driving force for land use demand and use. It is estimated that population growth may approach up to 200 per year. Employment opportunities and growth are not considered to be significant factors in the demand for, or consumption of, land use in Town based on past trends. The Town is anticipated to remain primarily residential with ancillary commerce to support the residential sector's basic consumption needs.

The transportation network historically has influenced the location of land uses and the population growth in Gloucester. In its early history, Gloucester seceded from Providence due to transportation-related issues (i.e. time and distance). The original commerce operations established in the Town were located either on waterways or turnpikes. But it was the evolution of the automobile and an improved roadway network that subjected Gloucester to significant population growth. Today, Gloucester's predominant developed land use is for residential activities. Commerce accounts for less than one percent of the

developed land use. The automobile and the convenient local and regional roadway network enable most of Gloucester's resident labor force to commute to employment opportunities outside of the Town.

Within the Town, there are four arterials and thirteen collector roads (see Circulation Element for a detailed discussion). In addition, there are numerous local streets located throughout Town. The predominant type of development has been the "frontage lot," cut along these roadways. A major issue confronting the Town today is the question of how to access "back lots." A good amount of the road frontage has already been allocated to front lots. In some instances, "land-locked" parcels remain without adequate access to frontage roads. Future development of these "back lot and land-locked" parcels will be a major policy issue before the Town as less frontage land is available. This situation will have an implication on the availability and intensity of use of undeveloped and underdeveloped land. Flexible zoning techniques such as residential compounds and shared driveways should be evaluated as measure to allow for low density development of restricted parcels.

Another area of concern for future development is the prevalence of water bodies, wetlands, ledge, and other environmental constraints to development in Gloucester. Given the almost total dependence on groundwater for potable water supply and on subsurface individual septic disposal, the Town needs to be cognizant of such constraints in its formulation of land development regulations governing lot, parcel size, and area suitable for development in new developments. The establishment of appropriate criteria for defining and regulating buildable area in terms of environmental and physical constraints to development; of undevelopable land such as streets, water bodies and utility easements; and of protected sites such as historic cemeteries; is essential to guide future development to land that will support proper development.

In order to properly protect surface and groundwater resources; to mitigate potential drainage, soil erosion and sediment control impacts; to mitigate impacts to the natural and built environment; to mitigate traffic and circulation impacts; to assure proper landscaping screening and buffering for protection to adjacent properties, to preserve scenic, historic and cultural features; and to promote general adherence to the goal of preserving the rural character of Gloucester; land and development regulations should be developed to require site plans and a review and approval process for such plans, involving major or large scale developments and/or special use applications. Reasonable criteria and an expedited review process will assure competent and timely review of such plans. The development of a design manual to assist in meeting these development objectives is encouraged.

11.6 Land Capacity Analysis

A land capacity analysis is a planning technique to project and gauge when a "buildout" will occur. That is, given a defined set of growth parameters, when will all the available land be consumed by various land uses? From this knowledge, growth can then be managed and accommodated through planning. Policies regarding land use, amount of land "set-aside" for any particular land use and growth management plans typically originate once a land-capacity analysis has been performed.

A word of caution is needed on the use of the land-capacity model. The variables entered into the model will affect the outcome. Care has been taken to use reasonably accurate and realistic values to perform this function. However, it is not represented that this "buildout" is the only possible or most probable scenario. This experience is purely intended to be a planning function to establish one potential scenario and identify the future growth and planning issues related to that particular outcome.

For the purposes of this model, the following assumptions have been established:

- * All land not used for residential, commercial, industrial, institutional or dedicated open space was defined as developable.
- * To determine the development potential of the undeveloped land listed in the first assumption, current environmental regulations and conditions were considered to remove unusable land. This included wetlands, water bodies, steep slopes, soils with limited community development potential and flood zones.
- * Residentially zoned land could be fully developed despite issues regarding access, water supply and property ownership.
- * A housing occupancy count of 2.946 persons per dwelling unit was employed (Source: 1990 US Census).
- * Existing minimum lot sizes per zoning district were used to determine lot availability per zoning district.
- * Municipal water and sanitary sewer services are not and will not be made available in the future.
- * Nonconforming lots of record were not included in this analysis since they constitute a de minimis impact in the model's outcome.

The land-capacity analysis involved the following steps and assumptions:

12. Mapping of environmental constraints, such as water bodies, wetlands, steep slopes and flood hazard zones. The principal source for this information was the Rhode Island Geographic Information System(RIGIS);
13. A RIGIS map was used to overlay developed and undeveloped land uses on the constrained soils map;
14. A measurement of the developed and constrained land was taken to estimate the acreage of potentially developable land in Town;
15. An estimate of developable land by zoning district was then performed; a further assumption was made that no zone changes would occur in the future to alter the current allocation or intensity of land use by zone;
16. An allowance of 15 percent was assigned to account for roads and utilities supporting development;
17. An estimate of future dwelling units was then made, based on the preceding steps and dividing the net buildable area by the minimum lot size for the particular zoning district, and;

A calculation of the potential “buildout” population was then established by multiplying the number of dwelling units times the occupancy per unit rate of 2.946.

18.

Table 11.8
LAND CAPACITY ANALYSIS

(A) Zoning District	(B) Total Land Area	(C) Constrained Land Area	(D) Net Area Developable	(E) Dwelling Units	(F) Buildout Population
A-4	29,690	22,274	7,416	1,854	5,462
A-3	5,208	4,069	1,139	380	1,119
A-2	1,490	1,110	380	190	560
Total	36,388	27,453	8,935	2,424	7,141

Source: Gloucester Planning Office 1993

A= Current zoning districts; minimum lots sizes A-4 4 acres, A-3 3 acres, A-2 2 acres.

B= Constraint soils; severe, high and moderate and .15 design factor.

C= Total land area (36,388) less constrained land area.

D= C divided by minimum lot size per zoning district.

E= D multiplied by the occupancy factor of 2.946.

Based on this land-capacity projection and combined with the population projection estimates, Gloucester will not reach a community “buildout” until sometime in the third or fourth decade of the 21st century; that is, sometime around 2030 - 2040 depending on building demand and regulatory approval rates. While this projection is speculative, it offers one possible scenario to assist Gloucester in its community development planning program.

Of particular note is the prevalence of environmental constraints present within the Town. According to the information gathered for the land-capacity analysis, nearly 75 percent of the potentially developable land has some type of severe to moderate environmental constraint. No matter what type of development occurs in Gloucester, extreme care must be taken to regulate the nature, location and intensity of growth. In addition, standards should be created to account for these unique land characteristics.

11.7 Implications for the Future

According to the estimates and projections contained in this Plan element, Gloucester can expect to experience continued demand for land consumption into the next century. This demand for land will be predominantly for residential housing activities. The primary issue facing Gloucester is not whether, but, what type of development will occur in the future. The Town cannot exclude growth; at best, it can plan and manage it to ensure that only appropriate development occurs.

The Town should consider the adoption of a plan to deal with the possible conversion of any federal or state owned conservation or recreation areas. Such a plan must consider the development potential of such properties and the resultant impact of such development on the Town’s fiscal and rural character. Preservation of private land should also be further encouraged by providing property owners the option to place the land in an open space/conservation district. In this regard, a Farm, Forest, and Open Space Ordinance has been adopted and implemented by the Town. The Town must continue to monitor the newly enacted Earth Removal Ordinance to determine whether this law serves to accomplish its desired objectives. Also, the Town should evaluate performance standards and regulations for review and approval of telecommunications transmission facility applications. The Town should also consider the creation of a site plan review procedure with performance standards for all major development proposals.

The Town has in the past and will in the future support the needs of the mentally and physically disabled through the issuance of special use permits and dimensional variances. The Town will continue to remove zoning barriers, where applicable, that prevent the mentally and physically disabled from the property enjoyment experienced by the general population. In addition, the Town will continue to accommodate the special transportation and recreation needs of this population within the means and ability of the Town's resources. The Town will also continue to work cooperatively with the Department of Mental Health to meet the needs of the disabled.

Although the Town is mostly undeveloped or rural, the remaining undeveloped land area is characterized by many natural resources features that limit or prevent environmentally sound development practices. As a result, more than 27,453 acres of land potentially available for future development, 75 percent of the entire undeveloped land area, is restricted from future use. That leaves approximately 8,935 acres available for development, an area about double the current developed land area of 3,893 acres.

Map 11.2 Future Land Use

Traditional land-use practices to protect environmental features, such as large lot and homogeneous zoning regulations, may prove inadequate to manage and allow for planning of anticipated growth. The Town should consider adopting more contemporary techniques of environmental regulation to protect natural resources, like flexible dimensional regulations, zoning use performance standards, alternate backlot access regulations, groundwater and surface water sources and wetlands, protection and provision of “greenbelts” to enhance and preserve the Town’s sense of place, a growth management ordinance and updated subdivision regulations.

The Town should also consider whether the lack of a commercial base is a policy concern. This is of particular interest since local governments, municipal and school operations, derive their principal revenue from the property tax base. Should the Town continue to develop with a predominantly residential base, and assuming that the property tax remains the primary revenue source for local government operations, this tax burden will not be shared. Although this issue will be discussed in detail in the Economic Development element, the allocation of land for future growth must consider this dilemma. Contemporary planning and zoning techniques such as mixed-use districts, historic zoning, and home occupations and the concept of a floating commercial district ordinances should be considered as creating a proper diversification of Gloucester’s land use and tax base.

Based on the information described and discussed in this Land Use element of the Gloucester Comprehensive Community Plan, a future land use map was created (Map 11.2). This map is intended to illustrate what land uses would be supported in general areas of the Town. This map is not a zoning map that lists specific properties and allowed uses. Rather, Map 11.2 offers one concept of future land use by area, in a rather general format.

There are few or no inconsistencies between the future land use map for the Town and the current zoning map. Based on the comprehensive planning process used to establish this plan, the future land use map simply affirms the current general land use pattern and zoning district map. The Town wishes to retain and enhance its rural character and to encourage agricultural and animal husbandry operations through zoning regulations.

The Town wishes to give regulatory protection to its dedicated public land use and/or significant open space or natural resources where applicable, by creating an Open Space/Conservation Zoning District for such areas that are appropriate, with land use regulations designed to encourage certain compatible uses and the long term preservation of open space.

The Chepachet Village commercial area will be targeted for an evaluation of a village mixed use zoning district as suggested in the Chepachet Village Plan. Clearer distinction between neighborhood and highway commercial zoning districts should similarly be evaluated. Expansion of several existing commercial areas are identified on the map for future evaluation in reflection of Economic Development objectives, and to allow for the limited expansion of commercial use in areas where such use pre-exists as a matter of right. These areas identified for possible expansion are intended to reflect only the extension of existing commercial zoning and not the creation of separated or isolated parcels for non-residential use. The alternative concept of a floating commercial Zoning District discussed as follows should also be evaluated as to relevancy with the existing land use pattern of Gloucester, and with applicable Regulatory statutes.

The boundaries of land use on the future land use map are general and approximate. Deviations of boundaries may be anticipated to account for property lines, environmentally sensitive areas, or other

constraints when drawing actual zoning district boundaries. A Floating Commercial Zoning district shall be considered and evaluated to accommodate future commercial uses not currently zoned with this designation. Before this designation is placed on a parcel, a formal application to the Town Council shall be evaluated by the Planning Board, with input from other pertinent boards and commissions for appropriateness (environmental or other), conflict with neighboring land uses as set out in this Comprehensive Plan and pertinent State Guide Plans, such as the Scituate Reservoir Watershed Plan.

The Town must revise the current zoning ordinance to comply with this Comprehensive Plan and take into account future growth projections to manage growth properly, especially given the unique natural resources prevalent in Gloucester. In furtherance of this, the town may consider increased controls/restrictions with the establishment of performance standards to protect natural resources from the impact of inappropriate non-residential development as may be established through enacting a Groundwater Protection Ordinance and/or Site Plan Review Ordinance as set forth in this Comprehensive Plan.

The Town envisions a future community that is predominantly rural residential with a provision for ancillary commercial activities. Land use regulations that allow for appropriate development while protecting, preserving, enhancing and restoring natural and historical features are desired. Gloucester does not intend to compete with the regional or metropolitan markets for commerce or employment opportunities. Commerce would supply only the very basic needs of the residents. To this end, it is further envisioned that efforts should be made to improve the existing commercial areas. Also, the Town desires to discourage “commercial strip” development from occurring along the arterial and collector roadway network. “Commercial clusters” are preferred where access and visual impacts are reduced. This land use allocation is seen as an overlay or floating zone, restricted by certain location and performance criteria. No actual zoning district is thus indicated on the future land-use map. In summary, the land use plan means to affirm the current land use pattern into the future. A new zoning ordinance is required, however, to devise improved regulatory mechanisms to manage and plan for future growth.

This element also considered the land use of the surrounding communities and their comprehensive plans. Direct notices of the Planning Board and Town Council hearings to consider the adoption of this comprehensive plan were sent to the abutting and surrounding communities to Gloucester to solicit their input. Each abutting municipality was contacted to obtain their input concerning the Plan. No abutting community offered any objections or suggested revisions to the Plan. Each abutting community’s land use was also considered and determined to be generally compatible with the Town’s. This plan also was drafted to be consistent with the state guide plan elements.

From this research component, the Town articulated goals, objectives, policies and recommendations to rectify identified areas of concern. These statements are in the Goals section of this plan. The Implementation section of this Plan details the actions, processes, remedies and responsibilities to perfect the goals, objectives and recommendations.

Map 11.3 Existing Zoning

11.8 Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan

The Comprehensive Plan, and particularly the Land Use Element, constitutes a policy statement based upon prevailing needs, the existing development pattern, underlying zoning, considerations of both man-made and natural constraints and opportunities for development, and accepted planning practices. Over a period of time, any of these variables are subject to change. Consequently, the Plan must periodically be reviewed and occasionally amended if it is to remain effective.

The State Comprehensive Planning enabling statute anticipates amendment by limiting the number of plan amendments on an annual basis to four, requiring the plan to be updated every five years, and also establishing a process for State review of such amendments. Although updating the Comprehensive Plan is required every five (5) years, requests for more frequent amendments may be likely, but should not be allowed to occur in a haphazard manner and should only occur after careful review of the request, findings of fact in support of the revisions, and public hearings. The statutory requirements which guided the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan should be followed for all amendments. Amendments may take place to both text and map provisions. The following findings shall govern the acceptability of any proposed amendment to this plan as may be applicable:

1. that the development pattern contained in the Land Use Plan inadequately provides appropriate optional sites for the use proposed in the amendment,
2. that the amendment constitutes an overall improvement to the Comprehensive Plan and is not solely for the good or benefit of a particular landowner or owners at a particular point in time,
3. that the amendment will not adversely impact the community as a whole or a portion of the community by:
 - a. significantly altering acceptable existing land use patterns;
 - b. requiring larger and more expensive improvements to community facilities or services than are needed to support the prevailing land uses and which, therefore, may impact development of other lands, or the Town;
 - c. adversely impacting existing uses because of increased traffic on existing systems, or environmental affects;
 - d. effect on the livability of the area or the health and safety of the residents, and
4. that the amendment is consistent with the overall intent of this Comprehensive Plan as set forth by its goals, policies, and recommendations.

Amendments to the Gloucester Comprehensive Plan may be initiated by the Town in accordance with the procedures, and requirements set forth by State Statute or may be requested by private or individuals or agencies.

If a Plan amendment is requested by a private individual or agency, it shall be the burden of the party requesting the amendment to demonstrate that the change constitutes an improvement to the Plan and to demonstrate that the above findings may be achieved. It shall not be the burden of the Town to prove that an amendment should be denied.

12.1 Housing

12.2 Introduction

Although Gloucester was established early in our nation’s history, it was not until the past *three four* decades that the Town experienced significant growth (See Table 11.5, Land Use Section). This growth was influenced by an improved transportation network and system, the availability and cost of developable land, and prevalent social conditions. Gloucester’s population nearly tripled during this growth period, which necessitated the creation of a significant amount of new housing units.

As evidenced by the Land Use Section, Gloucester’s developed land use is predominantly consumed by residential activities. Of the developed land area, 81 percent is in residential use. This fact gives the Town a character that is highly valued by residents. This development has occurred mostly along existing roadways on “frontage lots,” spatially located throughout the Town, with the exception of the village areas. Although the Land Use Section identified about 8,000 acres of land remaining for development, access to this land or “back lots” is difficult in many instances. This issue may increase the expenses of future subdivisions and also be passed along to the consumer in the form of higher priced land and homes.

This section will consider the Town’s housing inventory, the needs and financial abilities of the current and projected future population, and the resources and steps to consider regarding Gloucester’s housing supply and cost.

12.3 Existing Conditions

As mentioned, Gloucester experienced significant population increase during the past four decades. These new residents constructed new homes to house themselves and their family members. Table 12.1 offers documentation on this activity.

Table 12.1
HOUSING INVENTORY

	1970	1980	1990
Total Units	1685	2829	3460
Percent Change	NA	68	22
Occupied Units	1539	2446	3132
Percent Occupied	91	87	91
Owner Occupied	1299	2110	2729
Percent Owner Occupied	84	86	87
Renter Occupied	240	336	403
Percent Renter Occupied	16	14	13

Source: US Department of Commerce; Bureau of the Census, 1970, 1980, 1990

The growth in the total housing stock nearly coincided with the population growth rate for the listed decades. Occupancy remained at the 90 percent level and owners exceeded renters by nearly six to one. In fact, renters steadily decreased over each decade as a percentage of unit occupancy, although the total number of rental dwellings increased in that same time period.

Housing

What are the types of housing and their ages? Table 12.2 provides information on these indicators.

Table 12.2
HOUSING AGE AND TYPE

Year Built	Units	Percent	Type	Units	Percent
1980-1990	672	19	1 Unit, Detached	3066	88.6
1970-1979	907	26	1 Unit, Attached	27	.8
1960-1969	566	17	2-4 Units	151	4.4
1950-1959	416	12	5-9 Units	52	1.5
1940-1949	365	11	10+ Units	19	.5
Before 1939	534	15	Mobile Homes	145	4.2
Total	3460	100	Total	3460	100.0

Source: US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1990

Forty-five percent of Gloucester's existing housing stock was erected in the past two decades. Only 15 percent is more than fifty years of age, some of which contains historically significant homes. Overall, Gloucester's housing supply can be characterized as young. Single family, detached residential housing units comprised nearly 90 percent of all housing design types in 1990. A small 6.4 percent, less than 225 units, of all housing types were devoted to multiple-family use. Although there is a young, plentiful supply of single-family homes available in Gloucester, there is a much more limited supply for those in the rental or multiple-family home markets. In addition, although Gloucester's Zoning Ordinance allows single-family housing by-right, multiple-family housing is allowed only by special permit. This situation further limits the supply of rental and multiple-family housing stock.

In addition to the supply, age and type of homes available in the Town, the condition of the existing housing stock is also important for current and future planning purposes. Table 12.3 provides information regarding the condition of Gloucester's housing stock.

Table 12.3
HOUSING CONDITIONS

Condition ¹	Number of Units
Severe Problems	45
Moderate Problems	162
No Central Heating	588
Lacking Full Bath	107
Total Substandard	902
Total Units	3460
Percent Substandard	26

Source: RI Comprehensive Affordability Strategy; RIH, 1991

¹. Conditions defined by RIH, 1991.

The total number of substandard housing units of 902, or 26 percent of the entire Town's housing supply, merits concern. However, the Building Official asserts that the principal amount of these dwellings, 588 units or 65 percent, lack central heating since , these units are some of the many

seasonally occupied summer camps located along the shores of Glocester’s ponds and lakes. The remaining number of substandard housing units comprise only 9 percent of the Town’s current housing stock, a more reasonable ratio.

12.4 Affordability and Surrounding Area Conditions

The area comprising Glocester and several surrounding communities once was considered the “Providence Woods or outlands.” Today, the communities of Glocester, Foster, Scituate and Burrillville, formerly all part of the Town of Providence, share many common problems and characteristics. All are outside the Providence metropolitan area and are primarily residential communities; most of their civilian labor force commute to the metropolitan area for gainful employment.

Due to these similarities, these communities have been selected to comprise a comparative analysis data base to evaluate area housing stock, supply, condition, cost, affordability and need.

Table 12.4
HOUSING CONDITIONS: SURROUNDING AREA

Housing Condition Indicators ¹	Percent of Total Units			
	Glocester	Foster	Burrillville	Scituate
Severe Problems	1.3	1.5	.7	.6
Moderate Problems	4.7	5.4	2.7	2.2
No Central Heating	17.0	20.7	9.4	8.8
Lacking Full Bath	3.1	2.4	2.1	.7
Total Substandard	26.1	31.5	14.9	12.3

Source: RI Comprehensive Affordability Strategy; RIH, 1991

¹: Conditions defined by RIH, 1991

Both Glocester and Foster have a statistically significant number of housing units without central heating systems. Otherwise, the four communities have relatively similar housing condition indicators.

Table 12.5
HOUSING TYPES: SURROUNDING AREA

Unit Type	Percent of Total Units			
	Glocester	Foster	Burrillville	Scituate
1 Unit, Detached	88.6	90.3	66.7	86.7
1 Unit, Attached	.8	.6	3.0	1.6
2-4 Units	4.4	3.1	19.6	7.7
5-9 Units	1.5	3.3	2.4	.5
10+ Units	.5	0.0	3.4	1.9
Mobile Homes	4.2	2.7	4.9	1.6

Source: US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1990

The single-family home is the predominating domicile form for residents of the area communities. The housing supply is homogenous with few rental or multiple family units available. Housing choice

Housing

between single and multiple-family designs is virtually non-existent. Only Burrillville has a heterogenous housing supply, primarily a remnant of its former role as a mill town.

In the middle to late 1980's, Rhode Island experienced a housing-cost escalation phenomenon unlike any previous occurrence. Homes were bought and sold the same day for sizable profit. Home prices doubled, then tripled within short periods of time. During this time of housing inflation, personal income and purchasing power did not experience comparable increase. As a result, a significant number of would-be home purchasers were forced out of the ownership market. In addition, rental rates increased dramatically as rental properties were not immune from this housing-cost frenzy. People were being forced to devote more of their incomes to housing than customarily required. Housing became increasingly expensive during this tumultuous period.

As with pricing runups, there is typically an economic backlash. Rhode Island's housing market was no exception. At the close of the 1980's, home price increases were beginning to stall and, due to several other events such as the banking and credit union collapses, home sales slowed. By 1991, home prices had fallen, and sales levels were well below the past several years' record pace. These changes, however, left the overall state housing market significantly altered from a decade earlier. As result, many people were forced out of home ownership altogether, and some had to devote a significantly higher portion of their personal income to housing costs, both owners and renters. Housing affordability had become an important public policy issue.

Table 12.6 lists how this situation evidenced itself in Glocester and the surrounding communities.

Table 12.6
HOUSING AFFORDABILITY: SURROUNDING AREA

	Glocester	Foster	Burrillville	Scituate
Median Sales Price	\$125,000	\$127,250	\$120,000	\$166,500
Income Needed To Purchase	\$ 48,663	\$ 51,469	\$ 48,973	\$ 66,917
Median Income	\$ 40,952	\$ 42,559	\$ 35,041	\$ 40,189
Affordability Shortfall	\$ 7,711	\$ 8,910	\$ 13,932	\$ 26,728

Dollars(\$) are 1989

Source: RI Comprehensive Affordability Strategy, RIH, 1991

According to 1990 data, an affordability gap exists for persons in Glocester below, at or near the median income. However, this gap is one of the lowest in the entire state. Only three other municipalities in Rhode Island had a lower affordability gap. This measure is based on a 30 percent of income to housing cost standard, a mortgage rate of 9 percent and a principal down payment of 10 percent of the purchase price. Given the current economy of the State, personal income is expected to remain relatively level. If housing prices should continue to rise, even marginally, the affordability gap will widen. This situation merits close monitoring by Town officials.

Renters are frequently overlooked as members of the housing market. In 1991, Rhode Island Housing published a report titled the, RI Comprehensive Affordability Strategy. It stated that Glocester had one of the largest rental affordability gaps in the state. Table 12.7 lists the contract rents for Glocester and the surrounding area for 1990.

Table 12.7
 CONTRACT RENT PER MONTH

Rent Range	Percent of Renter Occupied Units			
	Glocester	Foster	Burrillville	Scituate
Less than \$250	18.2	26.2	24.4	16.1
\$ 250 - 499	49.7	51.7	48.4	51.1
\$ 500 - 749	24.4	19.3	24.2	29.6
\$ 750 - 999	6.5	2.7	2.6	3.2
\$1,000 or more	1.2	0.0	.4	0.0
Median Rent	\$415	\$351	\$382	\$437

Source: US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1990

In the 1980's, 67 rental units were added to the multiple family housing supply. Of these 67 new multi-family units, 40 were established for elderly and handicapped persons. In addition, the Town discovered about 110 unauthorized in-law/rental units in existing homes while conducting its revaluation process. Rental housing still comprises a small amount of the Town housing stock. Recently, the Town Council approved an amendment to the Glocester Zoning Ordinance to allow accessory family dwelling units in single family homes. This action will enable an increase in the rental housing supply and address a prevalent social condition.

12.5 Housing Needs

In addition to an analysis of the housing supply, proper understanding of the housing needs or demands of the Town is an important consideration in the establishment of the current and future community-planning program. The existing housing supply in Glocester is predominantly of the single-family variety. Few rental units are available. The supply increase has been fueled primarily by individual desire to create new single-family homes in the Town. Of the sixty-seven new rental units added to the Town's housing supply in the past decade, forty new assisted-rental units were included. Also, changing social and/or economic conditions influenced the establishment of 110 unauthorized accessory apartments to existing dwellings.

Particular attention should be given to the homeless and special needs populations, for example female headed households, seniors, and the disabled, to name just a few groups. The Town will work to identify the particular housing needs of these special populations and respond to their needs as the Town's resources allow.

Intuitive evaluation of Glocester's population change over the past several decades identifies in-migration of new residents as the primary reason for new population growth. Most of these new residents chose to live in Glocester and undertake employment elsewhere. There are few employment opportunities available to attract new residents nor gainfully employ present residents. Sociological and real estate research has demonstrated that rental properties are commonly required in employment centers to support a sometimes-transient labor force. Since Glocester does not serve as an employment center, it does not need a supply of rental housing for a local labor force.

Housing

However, there are also the issues of interstate travel and the right freely to choose a residence. It has been advocated by many public interest and civil rights interest groups that people have a right to housing options in every community. This advocacy has created a public policy referred to as “fair share.” Every municipality within a larger state “community” has a public obligation to provide housing options to all segments of the population and sustain a “fair share” or a diversified mix of housing supply types and cost options. Much public debate and discussion have been devoted to this issue to define and quantify what is considered a “fair share.” Many metropolitan communities have long argued they are unfairly expected to provide a disproportionate level of social services to the economically less fortunate than the suburban or rural areas. The Rhode Island General Assembly attempted to quantify this concept in 1991 in a housing bill that defined “fair share” as a standard. This standard is characterized in the RI Low and Moderate Income Housing Act as, “consistent with local needs” (RI General Laws 45-53- et.seq.) It established that every community should provide at least 10 percent of assisted housing units from the existing housing stock.

Table 12.8
HOUSING ASSISTED UNITS

Assisted Housing Indicators	Glocester
Population	9,227
Total Housing Units	3,460
Percent Low-Mod Units	2.08
Total Low-Mod Units	72
RI Housing	0
FmHA 515	62
Section 202	0
Group Home Beds	10

Source: RI Housing (RIH&MFC) 1998

Glocester fails to meet the 10 percent goal for assisted housing established by the General Assembly. To meet the 10 percent standard, Glocester would need to have 346 units of assisted housing. Since the Town currently has only 72 assisted housing units, 274 new assisted units would be necessary to meet this standard. It must be realized, however, that individuals and families with low- and moderate-incomes enjoy housing occupancy in the Town but are not residing in assisted units. Thus, more than 10 percent of the housing stock may, in fact, contain persons with low- and moderate-incomes.

Various forms of housing assistance are available to Glocester residents in need. These assistance programs are administered through a number of different public agencies at the local, regional, state and federal levels of government. The programs include:

a. Western Rhode Island Home Repair

Glocester and Scituate joined the Foster Home Repair Program in 1987 and formed the Western Rhode Island Home Repair Program, a tri-town consortium. The consortium has an executive board comprised of members from each participating community and a program manager who is responsible for overall administration and project completion. This program was initiated in Foster in 1984 to use federal Community Development Block Grant funds to fund a local home

repair program. Gloucester submits individual grant applications to WRIHRP on an annual basis for consideration and action.

A total of \$669,210 in CDBG funds has been received by Gloucester. Three projects related to the elderly have been undertaken, as have various housing rehabilitation activities. Grants up to \$4,000 are available to eligible low and moderate income owner applicants for covered home repairs and improvements.

b. Laurel Crest and Pine Meadows Housing Communities

The Laurel Crest and Pine Meadows housing communities provide 62 units of affordable housing to low and moderate income elderly and handicapped persons and families. The communities were erected in 1982 and 1994 respectively and are managed by the Gloucester Housing Authority.

This community was established with Section 515 low-interest loan funds provided by the Farmers Home Administration. Tenants are provided rental assistance by providing the difference between a fixed percent of the tenants income and the area fair market rents.

c. Community Residences

Two community residences exist in Town that serve a population of 10 persons. These homes are operated under the regulations and supervision of the RI Department of Mental Health. The Town has been informed that the state plans to erect another such home in Gloucester in the near future.

d. Rhode Island Housing (RIH)

This quasi-public state agency provides a variety of housing programs to encourage home ownership, home repair and improvements and assisted-housing opportunities. RI Housing estimates that they serve 309 Gloucester homeowners. In addition, certain renters may participate in their rental assistance programs.

Several other RIH programs are available to Gloucester residents. Of particular note, though, is the Accessory Apartment, the Reverse Equity Mortgage and the Land Bank programs. Each of these programs has particular features that may serve the Town's current and future housing needs.

e. Other

Other programs and funds exist that address housing need and supply. Each should be evaluated by Town officials for applicability and acceptability. As situations allow, other assisted-housing programs and opportunities should be instituted to complement existing conditions and help to expand local assisted-housing programs.

Summary

In summary, Gloucester currently has a modest number of rental units as a percent of its entire housing supply. However, recent action by the Town Council will allow the creation of accessory family dwelling units and help to increase the supply of rental units. The rental vacancy rate was estimated at 4.7 percent in a 1990 research study conducted by RI Housing. Contemporary housing research findings maintain that a consumer- healthy rental market is best achieved with rental-unit vacancy rates in the 10 percent range. The amendment to the zoning code to allow the establishment of accessory family dwellings will increase the rental housing supply and thus may also serve to increase the rental unit vacancy rate. This effort is a major policy step to allow the establishment of a diverse housing supply in the Town for

Housing

persons of all income groups. On going monitoring by Town officials should be undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of this effort.

The affordability gap identified for renters and owners is also a major public policy issue. However, the Town maintains that many private housing units are occupied by persons of low- or moderate-income. The fact that they are not receiving federal or state housing assistance should not be construed as a lack of affordable housing units located in the Town. Gloucester officials will continue to improve and expand assisted and non-assisted housing opportunities in Town for the economically disadvantaged.

Town policy will remain sensitive to the ratio between (identified) low and moderate income housing and total housing units in the Town , as related to Title 45, Chapter 53, RI Laws, entitled “ Low and Moderate Income Housing Act”. The Town will continue to provide opportunities for the provision of low and moderate income housing in accordance with local needs. including but not limited to, the following objectives:

1. promoting use of Farmer’s Home Administration and RI Housing assistance programs for conversions and repairs of existing underutilized structures in appropriately zoned areas;
2. establishing a residential compound concept in land use regulations which will allow children of families on large properties to remain on such properties;
3. exploring the possibility of allowing a higher residential density for affordable housing in existing village areas, and in particular the proposed mixed use concept for Chepachet Village, where public health and safety concerns can be mitigated;
4. establishing support for or alliance with a qualified non-profit sponsor of new or rehabilitated owner occupied housing for Town residents, such as the Habitat for Humanity Program, including the acquisition of foreclosed property for such purposes, and
5. encouraging existing mobile home parks to obtain financing for upkeep and to meet health and safety needs in order to retain opportunities for affordable housing.

In closing, Gloucester is limited in its ability to provide concentrated multiple-family communities. No municipal water or sanitary sewer facilities exist in Town. In addition, concentrated development is limited by the natural environment constraints prevalent throughout the Town. Low density and low-intensity land development would be the most appropriate type of housing development to occur to meet the identified housing needs and to be consistent with the land use section findings and policies.

A series of Goals, Policies and Objectives and Recommendations were created from the issues identified by the research contained in this section. In addition, an Implementation table list the actions, responsibilities and timetable associated with the policy statements.

13.1 Economic Development

13.2 Introduction

Throughout most of its history, economic opportunities in Glocester were linked to the land. Its early development was based on subsistence farming; this skill later evolved to an export market of food supplies for the Providence area population. As a transportation network developed to move the farm goods rapidly and conveniently from the Town to the marketplace, ancillary economic opportunities were created to support and supply the persons moving the goods to market. Taverns offered travelers food and rest while on their journeys.

In the nineteenth century, a change manifesting itself throughout the region began to occur in Glocester, the industrial revolution. This change signified a shift from the agrarian economy of the early settlers to a manufacturing economy that was primarily located in developed, urban areas. This new economy also required a large pool of semi-skilled and skilled laborers to support their manufacturing operations. Many farm operations in Glocester were abandoned as settlers moved to areas where economic opportunities were available. Some persons stayed to continue working on the farms or seek employment in one of the several manufacturing operations located in the Town. Local employment opportunities were few; as a result, Glocester witnessed a dramatic loss of population into the early-twentieth century when another economic upheaval began to occur.

Transportation system improvements related to technological advancements had, without exception, the most dramatic effect on the Town's current economic situation. In the early twentieth century, people from the metropolitan areas ventured to Glocester to enjoy its bucolic setting and outdoor recreation opportunities. These travelers were sampling a new aspect of a changing social order related to prevalent economic conditions -- leisure time. Most of these people, however, resided in urban areas and ventured to Glocester only for seasonal and temporary visits. Still, a small and prosperous service economy began to emerge that supplied these visitors with provisions and comfort.

By the mid-twentieth century, the automobile was beginning to cause a social revolution in America. Glocester was no exception to the phenomenon of "suburbanization," an exodus of people from the urban employment centers to outlying, primarily undeveloped areas. Contemporary social scientists labeled this post-World War II social movement by many Americans as the "search for the American dream," a concept which embodied the pursuit of a single-family home in a quiet, safe setting away from the complexities of high-density urban living. This social revolution spread to communities contiguous to metropolitan employment centers. Later, as the land in the communities near the centralized employment centers was used up and as roadway networks and automobile technology advanced, people moved further away from the centralized employment areas. Glocester was one Rhode Island town which experienced this trend.

Since 1960, Glocester has experienced a nearly tripled population. This occurred while the City of Providence was seeing population loss. People were moving from the centers of employment to primarily undeveloped outlying areas as "commuting" became a standard of American social structure. Instead of visiting places like Glocester in their leisure time, people chose to reside there and commute to the workplace.

During this same period, the basic functions of the American economy were beginning to experience dramatic alteration. America was shifting from a manufacturing to a service economy. Economic growth

Economic Development

and expansion began to evidence this shift as early as the 1960's. This change allowed many employment opportunities to be relocated from urban areas due to the changing nature of the labor pool needs. Many of the mature suburban communities experienced economic base expansion as professional office centers, retail shopping plazas and food industry facilities found locations in areas already populated by the "exurbanites." While this trend invoked economic and social havoc in urban areas, suburban areas prospered as employment opportunities became readily available.

Populations increased in the suburban and rural areas as a result of this urban decentralization; local government responsibilities expanded proportionately. The property tax, a value-based ad valorem tax, was the principal financing mechanism for local government. Although federal and state governments have provided periodic financial assistance to municipal government, local tax revenues are the primary financing source. All local property (this includes real, personal and inventory properties) is valued, then annually taxed at a rate determined necessary to properly finance local government operations. A community economic base is composed of three principal sectors: residential, commercial, manufacturing. The municipal tax burden is proportionately distributed according to the local land use and development pattern. In an effort to reduce the property tax burden upon the residential sector, many Rhode Island communities endeavor to attain a pattern of local land use and development that includes about 30 percent of commercial and manufacturing activities. To achieve this objective, some communities take advantage of existing locational demand while others attempt to create demand through locational incentives.

13.3 Existing Conditions

As demonstrated in the Land Use element, nearly 90 percent of the land area in Glocester remains undeveloped. Of the 10 percent that is developed, 81 percent is devoted to residential activities and 5 percent is consumed by commercial or manufacturing operations; that is less than 1 percent of the entire Town's land area. The remaining developed land area is occupied by a variety of other land uses, such as institutional and recreational. The economic base in Glocester, then, is predominantly residential, with a small assortment of commercial and manufacturing operations. There are few vacant parcels of land zoned for commercial or manufacturing uses. Of that land area zoned for such non-residential uses, much may be constrained by natural features or the lack of adequate public services, such as water supply or sanitary sewers.

Of the 9,227 persons residing in Glocester in 1990, 5,001 participated in the 1990 civilian labor force. In 1990 there were 592 employment positions in Glocester. Table 13.1 lists the type of local employment opportunities then available.

Table 13.1
LOCAL EMPLOYMENT

Industry	1990 Employment	1982-89 Average Employment
Retail Trade	212	207
Service Industries	229	175
Manufacturing- Durable Goods	20	25
Transportation, Communications & Utilities	27	22
Construction	54	46
Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	30	26
Wholesale Trade	11	15
Agriculture, Forestry & Fisheries ¹	0	0
Total Covered Employment	592	515

1. Confidentiality rules prohibit the reporting of employment figures if an individual employer could be identified through such a listing.

Source: RI Department of Economic Development, Town of Glocester Monograph, 1992

Three-fourths of all local employment opportunities are in the retail trade and service industries divisions. These sectors of the economy are not considered by economic theorists as a basic industry source; but rather, non-basic economic activity. That is, these sectors typically are considered non-exporting activities and, as such, are not regarded by social scientists as contributing to economic expansion. Rather, these sectors reflect population growth, but will not encourage or foster labor-market opportunities. Opinion varies regarding the implications of an economy dependent on non-basic versus basic industries.

According to the RI Department of Economic Development in 1992, the average wage for all non-agricultural private employment was \$14,818. This is nearly \$34,000 less than the \$48,663 income needed to purchase a home in Glocester at the median sales price. The average income of a two-wage owner household would be \$29,636, or more than \$19,000 less in income than necessary to purchase a median-priced home. Higher-paying employment opportunities are available outside the Town in other labor market areas. More than 95 percent of the civilian labor force commutes to work outside of Town according to the 1990 Census information.

Glocester's economic base is small and concentrated on the non-basic services sector, as explained in the preceding paragraph. The typically low-paying employment opportunities available in these sectors are not adequate for persons to reside in a median-priced Glocester home, even should there be a two-income, average wage-earner household. The bulk of Glocester's civilian labor force must commute to other labor market areas to find adequate income employment opportunities. It is the regional market that provides Glocester's labor force with gainful employment opportunities and adequate income to assure economic survival.

Much of the resident survey information collected in this planning process indicated that people moved to Gloucester for the living environment. The data on employment and economic base may provide evidence to justify this opinion. Based on this research, it can be accurately stated that people locate in Gloucester for reasons other than employment opportunities. Further, most Gloucester residents obtain income from sources not associated with local employment opportunities. The same survey information indicated a strong desire by respondents to keep Gloucester rural and to discourage inappropriate future commercial or industrial land-use activities. Also, there was opinion expressed that any new commercial or manufacturing uses should undergo rigorous scrutiny prior to becoming established in the Town.

13.4 Economic Development Needs

Gloucester's economic base comprises less than 1 percent of the Town's total land area, only 5 percent of the developed land area. Residential land uses constitute over 81 percent of the developed land area and represent the largest single developed-land use sector. While a sizable amount of vacant land is zoned for residential use, few vacant sites zoned for commercial or manufacturing uses exist. In addition, of the vacant land zoned for commercial or manufacturing uses, much is constrained for development by natural features or inadequate public services. One innovative option to consider regarding local economic development is the advent of the information highway and related telecommunication technological advancements. Perhaps, as the automobile altered society in the 1950's, telecommunications may exert similar changes in the next century. Mechanisms should be considered to allow or encourage, the introduction of such technologically based economic opportunities at the local level. One such opportunity is the home-based business or satellite home office. These and other similar innovative employment options may properly address the relatively small economic base in Gloucester.

Gloucester residents must bear a sizable portion of the local property tax burden resulting from the relative absence of commercial or manufacturing operations. Because of current land-use policies and regulations, no significant future employment opportunities are projected for the near future. This is primarily due to the absence of a locational demand in the marketplace. Only if Gloucester were to adopt and advocate locational incentives, might this anticipated future be altered. However, any such action must be cognizant of the current residents' desire to proceed carefully and thoughtfully regarding actions that may alter the Town's character and sense of place. Therefore, local policy makers must consider the residents' attitudes and social implications of the existing local economic situation and determine what type of decisions and actions are appropriate.

As set forth in the Land Use Element, new opportunities for economic growth should primarily occur in proximity to existing non-residential zoned areas in order to contain such growth and prevent haphazard "sprawl." The only exception are certain areas designated in the future land use map for further evaluation of expanded commercial or industrial re-zoning based on a predominance of pre-existing non-residential activity in these areas, or the floating commercial zone concept.

Since the adoption of the Plan in 1994, the Town has undertaken two separate actions which would serve to increase economic development opportunities in Gloucester. First, the Town commissioned a study of Chepachet Village. This plan envisioned a comprehensive approach to revitalize and restore Chepachet Village to enhance economic development opportunities in the village. This objective would be accomplished through a variety of physical design and economic incentive actions. The goals and objectives of the Plan for Chepachet Village are incorporated by reference into this Plan. Alternative recommendations for implementing these goals and objectives should be evaluated by a Chepachet Village Committee and implemented by the Town in partnership with the private sector. A copy of the Plan for Chepachet Village is on file in the Town Clerk's office.

The Town also commissioned a Wastewater Facilities Study. This effort considered various solutions to resolve and prevent groundwater and surface water pollution town-wide, and the enhancement of development in Chepachet Village. Among the recommendations of this study were that new wastewater ordinances should be established, that a town-wide wastewater management district be established, that on-site alternatives should be evaluated for suitability and feasibility that a wastewater treatment set-aside for Glocester at the Burrillville and Smithfield wastewater treatment facilities should be secured, and that a sewer feasibility study in the Chepachet Village, Echo Lake, and Waterman reservoir areas should be performed with consideration of alternative solutions. This study compliments the ISDS regulations of the R.I. Department of Environmental Management. The Town will also consider the adoption of a wastewater management district, groundwater protection regulations, applicable on a town-wide basis. The Town incorporates the goals and objectives of the Glocester Wastewater Management Study into the Plan by reference. Alternatives to meeting these goals and objectives deserve further evaluation by the Wastewater Commission with advisory recommendation to the Town Council for implementation. The Study is on file in the Town Clerk's office for public review.

The determinations to these issues are articulated in the Goals, Objectives and Policies and Recommendations section of this plan. In addition, an action program is provided in the Implementation section.

Natural and Cultural Resources

14.1 Natural and Cultural Resources

14.2 Introduction

The natural resources of Gloucester have been both asset and liability over time. To the early manufacturers, the area's water resources provided a plentiful source of power for mill machinery. During the twentieth century, seekers of outdoor recreational pursuits enjoyed the abundant water bodies and woodlands located throughout the Town. Farmers, though, had to contend with stony and wet soils that impaired ability to establish and maintain adequate tillable acreage and crop yields. Some Gloucester homeowners are troubled by wet basements, poor site drainage, stony yards and large areas of unusable property due to the local features of the natural environment.

Gloucester experienced a significant amount of growth during the suburbanization period discussed in the Land Use element. However, during the comprehensive community planning process, many residents expressed a belief that a good deal of additional growth may have been "discouraged" by the Town's natural environment and its "remote" geographic location. Another factor that may have impeded land development could have been the established land development regulations that recognized the unique and sensitive aspects of the Town's natural features. These regulations guided growth away from areas deemed not suitable for development due to the presence of certain natural features. Other possible factors include land that may have been "readily available" for development in other communities, and that home buyers preferred to reside closer to employment centers.

Explanations aside, Gloucester's natural resources have played an important role in the Town's land-development decisions, the community's settlement pattern, the character of the Town and land-use practices by residents and visitors (See Map 11.3 Existing Zoning). So, too, have these natural resources helped to shape the Town's cultural resources. Throughout the community-planning process, many expressed a belief that Gloucester's residential attractiveness and the community's sense of place are inextricably linked to the natural environment. A dynamic inter-relationship does exist between the natural environment and the place that is called Gloucester. Furthermore, many of these same people also expressed a desire that the Town act to protect, preserve and enhance the local natural and cultural resources.

To establish local planning policies or programs to protect the natural or cultural environments, a proper assessment of the existing conditions is first required. What, then, are the natural and cultural features that contribute to Gloucester's sense of place and character?

14.3 Natural Resources Inventory

14.3.1 Water Resources

Of the 36,388 acres of area that comprise the Town of Gloucester, Table 11.2, GLOCESTER'S UNDEVELOPED LAND USES, lists surface water area accounting for 1,597 acres and wetlands, including 3,927 acres; a combined total of 5,524 acres, representing 15 percent of the Town's total area. Flood storage capacity areas or flood plains are another important local water resource. The proper functioning of these resources are critical to the environmental health of the community and safety of its citizens. In addition, 10,533 acres, or 29 percent, of the Town lies within the Scituate Reservoir watershed. The Providence Water Supply Board, owner and operator of the Scituate Reservoir water supply and distribution system, owns a mere 25 percent of this watershed area. The remaining watershed area is privately owned. In addition to these surface water resources are the subsurface or groundwater resources. The Town does not provide a public water supply or distribution network. All potable water

in Glocester is obtained from groundwater, captured and distributed by private individuals or firms. Water quality preservation and enhancement including groundwater protection are of paramount importance to the well-being of Glocester's citizens and the nearly 60 percent of the State's population supplied water from the Scituate Reservoir.

Surface Water

Watersheds are areas serving as the exclusive drainage basins for a particular surface- water body. For example, the Scituate Reservoir watershed is a drainage basin where all precipitation that falls upon that area of the earth is collected and eventually drained into the Scituate Reservoir. Thus, it is important to understand that the watershed area forms the geographic basis for land use and pollution-prevention policies and programs. In Glocester, there are four watersheds: the largest is the Blackstone River watershed, comprising two-thirds or about 66 percent of the Town's area; the Scituate Reservoir watershed is the second largest including about 29 percent of the Town's area; and, the Moosup River and Woonasquatucket River watersheds encompass the remaining 5 percent of the Town's area.

Within the watershed area is a dynamic natural water resource system comprised of rivers, streams, creeks, ponds, lakes, wetlands and floodplains. A stream, creek, pond or lake is an important part of the hydrological cycle. That is a process where precipitation from the atmosphere falls onto the earth; percolates into groundwater preserves; runs off or leaches from the ground water reserves into surface water bodies or wetlands; and is evaporated by the sun or transpired by plants back into the atmosphere. Surface water bodies, streams, creeks and wetlands serve two parts of this cycle. First, they serve to drain the surface of the land and, second, they are interconnected with groundwater movement.

Streams, creeks, ponds and lakes are prevalent throughout Glocester due to the natural and altered environment. In the Town's early history, waterways were important sources of power for manufacturing mills. Several of the Town's large waterbodies, such as Keech and Spring Grove Ponds and Waterman, Pascoag, Smith & Sayles, Burlingame and Bowdish Reservoirs, were originally created for this purpose. Later, many of these same waterbodies, along with other natural waterbodies, were used as sources of outdoor recreation activities. Swimming, fishing, boating and the scenic views were sought out by many seasonal visitors to the Town.

Inland surface water resources have been classified by the RI Department of Environmental Management regarding use and water quality. There are five levels of water- quality classification. Each Class is defined in the Wastewater Management Study, on file in the Town Clerk's office.

Table 14.1
SURFACE WATER RESOURCE INVENTORY

WATER RESOURCE	
Chepachet River	Hunt Brook
Stingo Brook	Cutler Brook
Sucker Brook	Shinscut Brook
Brandy Brook	Nine Foot Brook
Mowry Meadow Brook	Mowry Paine Brook
Saunders Brook	Spring Grove Pond
Peckham Brook	New Pond
Huntinghouse Brook	Steeres Pond
Mosquitohawk Brook	Pascoag Reservoir
Peeptoad Brook	Bowdish Reservoir
Clarkville Pond	Whites Pond
Hawkins Pond	Killingly Pond
Lake Washington	Burlingame Reservoir
Tepee Pond	Ponaganset Reservoir
Stone Dam Pond	Brush Meadow Pond
Peckham Pond	Keech Pond
Smith & Sayles Reservoir	Shingle Mill Pond
Cherry Valley Pond	Lake Aldersgate
Coomer Lake	Waterman Reservoir
Ponaganset River	Windsor Brook

*Source: RI Historical Preservation Commission;
Historical & Architectural Resources of Glocester, 1980*

Table 14.1 offers a listing of the major surface-water resources in the Town. A specific water-quality designation for any of these inland water bodies is contained in the Wastewater Management Study on file in the Town Clerk's office. Each water body is classified according to the RI Department of Environmental Management's water classification standards. The Town's Wastewater Management Study also lists each water body according to its water quality classification. Some minor tributaries and unnamed waterways have been excluded from this inventory. Their exclusion is unintentional. This research shall refer to the entire class of all surface water resources located in the Town. Of particular note is the public necessity to protect the Scituate Reservoir from pollution resultant from inappropriate land use within the watershed. The *Scituate Reservoir Watershed Management Plan* (State Guide Plan #125) recognized that growth and land development patterns posed a high risk to the reservoir's water quality. The Plan recommended that a watershed-based land management system be established to protect the reservoir. In furtherance of that recommendation, the Town is participating in a cooperative effort

with the Towns of Foster and Scituate through a state and federally-sponsored initiative to protect the Scituate Reservoir and its watershed from unsuitable development. The results of these effort may be incorporated into the Plan and may be implemented as part of the Town's overall environmental quality planning program.

As mentioned, some of these waterbodies were created by alterations to the natural environment. As a result, some 15 dams were constructed. Most are privately owned and maintained. All are inspected periodically by personnel from either the RIDEM or RI Department of Transportation. Both the Burlingame and Ponaganset Reservoir's dams have been assessed as posing a significant downstream hazard, if breached. The Ponaganset Dam has since been rehabilitated by Providence Water, while the Bowdish Reservoir Dam is now scheduled for rehabilitation by the RIDEM. The Clarkville Pond dam has been deemed a high hazard, should a breach occur, to the downstream hamlet of Clarksville.

Groundwater

As explained, groundwater is an integral component of the hydrologic cycle. Groundwater is contained in underground reservoirs called aquifers. Groundwater typically returns to the surface through wells and leeching into lakes, streams, ponds and wetlands. Thus, groundwater moderates surface-water flow by absorption during wet periods and discharge during dry periods. In addition to serving as reservoirs, aquifers also serve to filter water as it percolates from and to the surface.

In Gloucester, 100 percent of the potable water supply is obtained from groundwater sources. Thus, aquifers are an important public resource. Map 14.2 provides an illustrative reference of the groundwater supply and distribution system. The RIDEM has estimated that the Town's primary groundwater reservoir occupies approximately 900 acres, with an associated recharge area of over 5,000 acres. This one aquifer area lies beneath about 16 percent of the Town's total land area. Other aquifers have been catalogued and evaluated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the US Geological Survey. They include aquifers surrounding the Keech Pond, Smith & Sayles Reservoir, Chepachet River, Pascoag Reservoir. In general, aquifers are prevalent throughout the Town.

Similar to watershed-based planning for surface waterbodies is an aquifer-based planning program to prevent pollution. Since groundwater is the exclusive water supply source for Gloucester's residents, extreme care must be exercised not to pollute aquifers through inappropriate land-use practices. The RIDEM has established a Wellhead Protection Program to "prevent contamination of groundwater resources that are used by public drinking water systems." This action is required by the EPA through the federal Safe Water Drinking Act. RIDEM and the RI Department of Health (RIDOH) define the term public well as "a well that provides drinking water to fifteen or more service connections, or regularly serves an average of at least twenty-five individuals daily at least sixty days of the year." These wells are illustrated on Map 14.2.

Notices of Violation have been sent to some owners of public wells for noncompliance with RIDOH directives to protect public health. Resumption of the water source was allowed in all but one case. Pollution sources included those naturally occurring, contaminated surface water runoff and faulty septic systems. Gloucester has no public sewerage collection system or treatment facility. All septic waste is treated through cesspools or on-site septic systems. Although the state regulates well and septic system locations, improper local land use activities can lead to groundwater pollution.

14.2 Hydrologically Sensitive Areas

14.3 100 & 500 Year Flood Plain Map

Natural and Cultural Resources

Wetlands

Wetlands are generally defined as transitional areas between dry land and open water. They are areas of even topography, poor drainage and standing water, either on a seasonal or year-round basis. There are several federal and state agencies that define and regulate wetland areas. No universally accepted definition of a wetland exists. Every wetland is identified and ranked by the RI DEM based on its vegetation, water type, size, depth, soil type and wildlife habitat. Only a site specific evaluation by a trained professional can properly identify and define a wetland area. In addition, every wetland is ranked according to its ecological viability. Wetlands also affect the quality of water, the quantity of water and are important resources for overall environmental health and diversity. Destruction, loss or pollution of wetlands threatens the public safety and general welfare of the community.

In the Land Use element, the RIGIS identified that 3,927 acres of the Town's land area was comprised of wetlands. An individual mapping of every wetland existing in the Town is not supplied due to the need for site-specific evaluation. However, some known wetland areas include Dark Swamp, Brush Meadow Swamp, Mowry Meadows and Schwindel's Swamp.

Floodplains

A floodplain or flood hazard area is defined as an area that has a one percent (1%) or greater chance of inundation in any given year, as delineated by the federal emergency agency pursuant to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended (P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4011 et seq. Map 14.3 illustrates the 100-year floodplains that exist in Glocester.

FEMA

The Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") requires local communities to regulate development within the 100-year floodplain<< Index will generate here >> for homeowners to qualify for federally subsidized flood insurance. The Town has adopted a set of regulations to meet the FEMA requirements. Section 14 of the Glocester Zoning Ordinance regulates development within all flood-hazard areas in the Town. The Glocester Building Official is given authority to require a development permit for construction within a flood-hazard zone. Special building code standards apply to structures erected in a flood-hazard area. Additional building standards are contained in the RI State Building Code.

14.3.2 Soils

The soil is the uppermost weathered mineral and organic layer of bedrock or surficial deposits. Development of land often hinges on the type of soil and its characteristics found at any given site. The US Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (SCS) has catalogued and surveyed the types and characteristics of soils found in Rhode Island. The *Rhode Island Soil Survey* was prepared by the federal government for a variety of users; planners, farmers, engineers and homebuyers can all benefit by using information contained in the report.

The RI Geographic Information System (RIGIS) manipulated the SCS soil information into three categories indicating the difficulty for community development. The categories are severe, moderate and minimal. These soils are illustrated on Map 14.4(a). As with wetlands, site specific evaluations must be performed to verify survey mapped data. However, Map 14.4 is considered a reliable reference source to obtain generalized information on a site and its surrounding area.

Natural and Cultural Resources

Table 14.2
SOILS ANALYSIS

Soil Constraint Type	Area	Percent of Total
Severe	6,595	19
Moderate	9,973	30
Minimal	17,079	51
Total	33,647	100

Source: RIGIS, 1991

The total area with constrained soils is 33,647 acres of land or 92 percent of the Town's total area. The total constrained soils listed in Table 14.2 are different from Table 11.8. This is because Table 11.8 listed constrained soils present on residentially zoned land and Table 14.2 lists all constrained soils, regardless of zoning. Since such a large amount of the Town's area is characterized by soils that constrain community development activities, all development proposals must be carefully scrutinized to determine their impacts on the environment. Development of any land in the Town must include a site assessment to inventory unique and sensitive environmental features. No development should proceed without a comprehensive understanding of the site's features and an assessment of the project's impacts to the natural environment. Map 14.4 shows these soils constrained for development.

Minerals

Also included in a soils inventory is an understanding of mineral resources present in the Town. Two sources, the RI Historical Preservation Commission and the Glocester Bicentennial Committee, described such mineral resources as iron ore, granite and gold to be present in the Town. Early settlers forged tools from the iron ore and used the granite to erect structures. Gold was mined in the Durfee Hill area on several occasions. Today, sand and gravel extraction activities account for 129 acres of land area use. Interest in granite, gold and iron ore minerals is low at this time. However, extraction operations are currently active. Due to the environmental quality concerns related to these types of land use operations, the Town should continue to monitor and regulate the extraction of sand and gravel to prevent soil erosion and sedimentation pollution. The Glocester Earth Removal Ordinance and the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance are two important local laws to regulate these operations.

Prime Agricultural Soil

Farming was the main economic activity in Glocester up to the early 19th century. Only a few active farms now exist in the Town. The RIDEM and the Northern RI Conservation District maintains a voluntary register of active farms. Of the handful of farms in Glocester on the register, most are apple orchards, vegetable farms, tree farms and small livestock operations. In addition, there are equestrian operations that raise and breed horses for show, work and racing use.

The US Soil Conservation Service identifies a total of 3,220 acres of prime agricultural soils present in the Town. These soils are located throughout the Town. In addition, Farmland of State Importance is land that is nearly prime farmland and that produces high crop yields when subjected to modern farming methods. Table 14.3 lists prime agricultural soils and Table 14.4 lists Farmland of State Importance Soils. Prime Agricultural Soils are listed on Map 14.4(a).

Table 14.3
PRIME AGRICULTURAL SOILS

AfA	Agawam fine sandy loam, 0 - 3 % slopes
AfB	Agawam fine sandy loam, 3 - 8 % slope
MmA	Merrimack sandy loam, 0 - 3 % slopes
MmB	Merrimack sandy loam, 3 - 8 % slopes
PaA	Paxton fine sandy loam, 0 - 3 % slopes
PaB	Paxton fine sandy loam, 3 - 8 % slopes
Pp	Podunk fine sandy loam
Ss	Sudbury sandy loam
StA	Sutton fine sandy loam, 0 - 3 % slopes
StB	Sutton fine sandy loam, 3 - 8 % slopes
Tb	Tisbury silt loam
WhA	Woodbridge fine sandy loam, 0 - 3 % slopes
WhB	Woodbridge fine sandy loam, 3 - 8 % slopes

Source: US Soil Conservation Service, RI Soil Survey

Table 14.4
FARMLAND OF STATE IMPORTANCE: SOILS

CdC	Canton and Charlton fine sandy loams, 8 - 15 % slopes
HkA	Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, 0 - 3 % slopes
HkC	Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, rolling
WgA	Windsor loamy sand, 0 - 3 % slopes
WgB	Windsor loamy sand, 3 - 8 % slopes
Ru	Rumney fine sandy loam
Wa	Walpole sandy loam

Source: US Soil Conservation Service, RI Soil Survey and RIDEM, Division of Agriculture.

Development should be regulated to avoid disturbance of land containing prime agricultural soils or farmland of state importance soils. It is important to obtain site-specific information regarding soils types as part of the development review and decision-making process. An environmental assessment for each site could provide this type of necessary information to land use regulators and decision-makers as part of the development review and approval process.

14.4 Soil Constraints to Development

14.4a Important Agricultural Soils

14.3.3 Natural Vegetation and Wildlife

Unique Habitats

The Rhode Island Heritage Program identified several sites in Glocester for rare species habitats. They are presented in Table 14.5

Table 14.5
UNIQUE HABITATS

Site	Unique Features
Bowdish Reservoir	Atlantic white cedar; black spruce, including dwarf mistletoe; highbush blueberry & bog cranberry.
Hemlock Ledges	Deciduous swamp & upland; hemlock forest; sandstone cliffs; rare flora & fauna; rare vertebrate habitat.
Pulaski/Washington Forests	Undeveloped woodlands of hemlock, white pine, laurel and deciduous trees.
Schwindel's Swamp	Sedge-dominated acidic fen; low shrubs; maple saplings; rare plants.
Smith & Sayles Reservoir	Damp mesic woodland, dominated by hemlock and tuliptree overstory; understory of several rare affinity plants.
Peckham Brook	Deciduous acidic woodland; mature oaks & maples; blueberry & catbriar.
Sprague Farm	Deciduous forest; cedar & hemlock; rare species of birds.

Source: RI Natural Heritage Program

Some of these sites are protected from future development. Steps should be taken to protect the areas subject to future development from inappropriate or environmentally degrading uses. Loss of any of these habitats or wildlife species should be avoided.

Including these sites, nearly 70 percent of the Town is forested. The RIGIS indicates that 24,738 acres of land in the Town have some type of forest cover present. Any significant change in the forest cover, such as loss related to logging practices, would have an impact on the character of the Town. Care must be exercised to establish regulatory mechanisms that allow for sustained development and natural systems protection.

Endangered And Threatened Species

There are no known federally registered endangered or threatened species located within the Town. The RI Department of Environmental Management, RI Natural Heritage Program contains an inventory of species of animals and plants suspected of being rare or declining. This inventory is presented in Table 14.6.

Natural and Cultural Resources

Table 14.6
ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES

Small Whorled Pogonia ¹	Large Round-Leaved Orchid ²	Ground Hemlock ³
Woodland Horsetail ⁴	Bog Rosemary ¹	Northern Goshawk ³
Black Spruce ⁴	Closed Gentian ⁴	Dwarf Mistletoe ¹
Eastern Worm Snake ³	Dark-Eyed Junco ⁴	Wild Lupine ⁴
Mountain Spleenwort ¹	Pileated Woodpecker ³	Smoky Shrew ⁴
Maidenhair Spleenwort ⁴	Bobcat ²	Large Coralroot ³
Hare's Tail ⁵	Hobblebush ⁴	Autumn Coralroot ²
Early Coralroot ³	Winter Wren ⁴	Four-Toed Salamander ⁴
Creeping St John's Wort ²	Woodland Sunflower ³	Striped Maple ⁴
Bottle Gentian ⁵	Pale Laurel ²	Mountain Honeysuckle ³
Swamp Pink ⁴	Blk-Th'td Blue Warbler ⁶	Lily-leaved Twayblade ²
Climbing Fern ³	Twinflower ⁵	Eastern Ribbon Snake ⁴
Anise Root ²	Green Pyrola ³	Grass Pink ⁴

1 = State Endangered

2 = State Threatened

3 = State Interest

4 = Species of Concern

5 = Historical Species

6 = Extant Species

Source: RIDEM, *Natural Heritage Program*

These plant and animal species should be protected from unnecessary disturbance or loss. Regulations to manage these unique natural resources should be considered for adoption. Information regarding these resources should also be collected and provided to land use regulators and decision-makers. An environmental assessment for development proposals could provide this sort of necessary information. However, care must be taken to protect identified species from vandalism or theft.

14.4 Other Natural Resources Issues of Importance

In addition to the natural resources information presented in this inventory, several land use activities exist in the Town that may/have caused contamination of the natural environment. Of particular concern are several land uses that have contaminated groundwater reservoirs in the Town. The USEPA, RIDEM and RIDOH have identified known or potential sources of groundwater contamination existing in the Town. The Town follows the state guideline for the handling, storage, transport, and disposal of all hazardous and toxic materials and wastes used or generated by the Town. Also, a Farm, Forest, and Open Space Ordinance has been adopted and a Soil Erosion Ordinance has been implemented by the Town to address erosion and sedimentation control.

1.) CERCLIS Sites

The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) was created by the US Congress to address a growing concern that environmentally harmful hazardous waste sites be closed, stabilized and restored to a safe condition. Part of this act required the

establishment of an inventory of hazardous waste sites potentially covered by this law. This list is called the Comprehensive Environmental Response and Liability Information System List (CERCLIS). Once a site is placed on the CERCLIS, a comprehensive environmental evaluation of the site is conducted to determine the extent of pollution present and the danger posed to people and the environment. If the location is determined to represent an immediate threat to public health and safety, it is then placed on the National Priority List, commonly referred to as the Superfund List.

Several sites in Gloucester are on the National Priority List. They are:

Davis Gloucester-Smithfield Regional Landfill

Operating as a licensed landfill from 1974 to 1982, this 58-acre site is located on the Gloucester-Smithfield town line. Extensive testing of this site has been performed for the RIDEM, RIDOH and the USEPA. Many known toxic and hazardous materials have been identified on the site. The USEPA intends to perform an ecological characterization, a well-monitoring assessment, geophysical surveys and a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Report. Once this research work is completed, a proposed plan to clean the site will be established. This remedial design plan is expected to be released in 1994. This site has been closed in accordance with an approved closure plan.

Davis Liquid Site

According to the USEPA, the Davis Liquid Site, located in the Town of Smithfield but near Gloucester, was used as a hazardous waste disposal site during the 1970's. In the early-1980's, site investigations revealed chemical contamination in the ground and surface water adjacent to the site. In 1982, the site was placed on the USEPA's National Priorities List. Although this site is not located in the Town, care must be taken regarding the potential for pollution to travel beyond the site and contaminate areas of Gloucester.

As part of the EPA proposed site clean-up, a public water distribution system will be installed to homes that may be affected or potentially affected by the water contamination.

The Gloucester Town Landfill

This is a 9-acre site located on Chestnut Hill Road. The RIDEM has monitored groundwater in the area and identified several hazardous materials present. RIDEM has recommended that additional listing and site inspections should continue under the CERCLIS program.

Factory Mutual Research Facility

This facility, located in west Gloucester, was cited for operating an unlicensed landfill in 1992 by the RIDEM. A comprehensive environmental site assessment was required to evaluate the extent of environmental damage to the site. From this information, an appropriate remediation and closure plan must be proposed by the company and approved by the RIDEM. This site may be placed on the CERCLIS list.

2.) Non-Point Source Pollution

Individual Septic Disposal Systems

All septic waste is treated and stored in cesspools or Individual Septic Disposal Systems (ISDS). These waste systems are located in the ground and have a potential to contaminate ground and surface water if improperly operated, functionally obsolescent or improperly installed or designed. Cesspools have been outlawed and can no longer be installed. Only pre-existing cesspools may be used to treat and store septic wastes. Poor design, siting, installation or maintenance can result in an ISDS failure.

Natural and Cultural Resources

The Town completed a Wastewater Management Facilities Plan in 1997. This report comprehensively covers the issue of wastewater management in the Town, complies with the RIDEM guidelines for facility plan adoption, and has been accepted as a qualified facilities plan. Among the recommendations of this study were that new wastewater disposal ordinances should be established, that the feasibility of the on-site alternative wastewater solutions should be explored, that a town-wide wastewater management district should be established, that a wastewater treatment set-aside for Glocester at the Burrillville and Smithfield wastewater treatment plants be secured, and that a sewer feasibility study in the Chepachet Village, Echo Lake, and Waterman Reservoir areas should be performed. This study complements the RIDEM's ISDS regulations. This study is incorporated by reference into the Plan which is on file in the Town Clerk's office for public review.

The Town is also a participant in a federal and state sponsored initiative to protect the Scituate Reservoir and its watershed from pollution due to unsuitable development. Glocester, along with the Towns of Scituate and Foster, have embarked on this initiative with the objective of identifying the watershed jurisdiction within each town, to identify development threats to the reservoir's water quality, and to create a regulatory framework that prevents future development within the watershed from causing pollution.

Surface Water Runoff

Drainage from land development areas sometimes carries suspended particulate matter that is contaminated. This pollution can range from mere soil particles to soil particles containing metals or chemicals. Most often, this runoff is directed to existing drainage systems to be carried away. Surface water runoff pollutants can contaminate groundwater and the drainage system. For example, a study of Lake Washington determined that non-point source pollution has degraded the water quality of the lake. Although the prime source of pollution was identified as septic system leachate into the lake, surface water runoff was determined to be a contributing pollution source. Left unmanaged, the pollution will eventually cause the "death" of the lake. Similar outcomes to other water resources are possible if surface runoff is polluted and not properly contained and treated. A net -0- additional runoff standard has been incorporated into Town Subdivision Regulations.

Soil Erosion

Sediment loading of water courses increases turbidity, smothers benthic communities and sometimes reduces aesthetic values. As mentioned, sometimes this sediment can contain pollutants that will contaminate the receiving water body. The Town has a Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance to regulate and manage this activity. Several farms in the Town have taken advantage of the technical assistance provided by the Northern RI Conservation District to abate water quality threats related to soil erosion and runoff.

Glocester has adopted an Earth Removal Ordinance to control excavation and restoration associated with earth removal. In addition, the Town adopted a Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance in 1991 that complies with the state model ordinance. Both ordinances employ the use of best management practices as the basis of their regulatory structures. The Soil Erosion Ordinance is also integrated into the Town's Land Development and Subdivision Regulations adopted in 1996.

The Town also participates in the regional soil erosion and storm water control inspection program. The local conservation district assists in the review of soil erosion and storm water control regulation. Large land development projects and earth removal operations are subject to periodic inspections by town officials. Developers must contact the local conservation district staff through the local building official to perform site inspections to verify ordinance compliance. Site inspection reports are also relayed to the

Glocester Building Official's office. Also, although no written standards exist, all town-owned storm water management facilities are maintained and serviced by the Town's Department of Public Works.

Underground Storage Tanks

Underground storage tanks were routinely used to house a variety of liquid products for personal or business consumption. The older style tanks were typically a single-walled iron or steel cylinder. Most often, fuel products such as gasoline, diesel fuel or home-heating fuel were stored in these tanks. Due to their design and construction, these tanks were susceptible to leakage, thus contaminating of the nearby soil. In some instances, the leakage was so great that groundwater and surface water sources were contaminated. In response to this public and environmental health crisis, the RIDEM initiated the Underground Storage Tank Program.

This program exempts all residents and farms with storage tanks of less than 1,100 gallons in size. All other storage tank users and owners must register their tanks and provide such information as the age, composition material, spill provisions and proximity to waterbodies, proof of ownership, and a site drawing. Underground storage tanks pose special problems to the community because a leak can go undetected for many years or until serious harm is caused.

Junkyards and Abandoned Vehicles

Uncontrolled spillage of fluids such as gasoline, motor oil, brake fluid, transmission fluid and anti-freeze coolant are potential pollution sources. These uses are regulated by the State and the Town. In addition, the *Scituate Reservoir Watershed Management Plan* recommends that new regulations be adopted better to regulate the operation of such facilities. There are no licensed automobile junkyards located in the Town. In addition, the Town Zoning Ordinance prohibits the location or operation of a junkyard anywhere in Town. Furthermore, no landfills, open dumps, or private stockpiling of road de-icing material is permitted.

Salt Storage Areas

Salt storage areas and road de-icing practices that employ salt are activities that have the potential to cause pollution of surface and groundwater resources. Actions to reduce or prevent salt contamination include: covering salt storage piles; placing salt piles on impervious surfaces; containing and treating salt-laden surface runoff from salt storage areas; use of salt substitutes for de-icing of roads in areas susceptible to contamination; careful application of salt by application crews.

Other Pollution Sources

Many households and small businesses contribute to pollution of the natural environment. Improper disposal of paints, household cleaning chemicals, solvents and waste oil can cause serious environmental pollution. In addition, improper application of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides can dramatically affect the water quality and the ecological viability of impacted areas. Proper disposal of household hazardous wastes and the careful application of lawn and garden chemicals is necessary to prevent environmental pollution or health threats. Natural or less toxic substitutes for these intended applications are available that as effective as the more toxic varieties.

14.5 Natural Resources Summary

Glocester has abundant natural resources throughout the Town. However, the presence of these resources makes much of the Town's environment susceptible to environmental pollution or damage. Care must be taken to use the land in a manner that is sensitive and representative of the land's ability

Natural and Cultural Resources

to sustain use and intensity. Haphazard development or inappropriate management of land uses is detrimental to the natural environment and pose a threat to public health.

The Town should consider adopting zoning regulations to protect environmentally sensitive areas, such as wetlands, high groundwater, steep slopes, flooded areas, or areas generally unsuited for community development. Standards should be developed for storm water management facilities maintained and serviced by the Town's Department of Public Works, as well as for Private development. The Town should consider the adoption of a formal site plan review process, which should include drainage and storm water management standards.

The Goals, Objectives and Policies, Recommendations and Implementation program list the methods to protect, preserve and enhance the natural resources of Gloucester.

14.6 Cultural & Historical Resources Assessment

Gloucester has a long rich history. From its time as a Native American encampment to the present, many notable events occurred to make Gloucester unique. Cultural resources are those actions or remnants of human activity that make Gloucester, or any place, different from all others. Cultural resources shape a community's sense of place, its character. During the comprehensive community planning process, Gloucester's residents identified three main attributes that contribute to the Town's unique nature. They are rural character, natural resources, and, historical resources.

Rural Character

As discussed in Section 1.4 THE CENTRAL CONCERNS, Gloucester is considered rural; a place of quiet enjoyment where residents may rest and refresh their bodies and spirits. It is this very attribute that threatens its future. Many people have moved to Gloucester to live because of its rural character. If this population and development growth continues and is not properly accommodated, the Town's highly valued rural character may be lost to suburban sprawl.

Many planning techniques are available to address this dilemma. It will take strong action on the part of Gloucester officials and citizens to achieve the goal of protecting the rural character of the Town. The community can accommodate growth and preserve the rural character of Gloucester only if it is well-planned and managed by the Town.

Natural Resources

It is the abundance of natural resources present in Gloucester that offers many citizens a link with the natural environment. People are drawn to Gloucester as a place for residency. One of the many reasons for this attraction is the natural environment. Ponds, lakes, streams, wetlands and woodlands attract people to enjoy this natural beauty. Active and passive interests such as nature watching, hiking, boating, swimming, fishing and hunting are some of the activities pursued by residents and visitors.

In 1990, the RIDEM published the Rhode Island Landscape Inventory, a document that catalogues the state's most scenic images. Two classifications to rank these images were established: Distinctive and noteworthy. Several sites listed in that survey are located in Gloucester. The landscape inventory document is incorporated into this plan to include these unique images as art of the natural resources inventory.

There is also available a list of scenic roads and vistas prepared by the state. This information is on file in the Town Clerk's office for review and is incorporated into the Plan. In addition, the Town intends to study this information and possibly include amendments to the zoning or land development regulations. The Town intends to use this information to ensure that future development is compatible

and not injurious to these special resources, possibly administered through a new site plan review process for all major developments.

Historical Resources

Glocester was inhabited by Native Americans well before the first European settlers arrived. In 1638, Roger Williams received a deed from the Narragansetts for land that included the area known today as Glocester. The Town has had a rich history since its first European settlements occurred in the early eighteenth century. Many of the events and circumstances that have shaped Glocester are detailed in two reports: *The Historical and Architectural Resources of Glocester, Rhode Island*, prepared by the RI Historical Preservation Commission (RIHPC), and, *Glocester, The Way Up Country*, compiled by the Glocester Bicentennial Commission.

The RIHPC report identified historically significant buildings, sites and areas. This inventory will serve as the base source for all local historical preservation efforts. Map 14.5, Natural and Cultural Heritage, illustrates the many places in the Town which have historical significance. “...(Glocester) contains a large collection of outstanding, well preserved and maintained nineteenth century buildings...”¹⁰ Several sites/districts of historical significance are included on the National Register of historic Places; other sites/districts have been identified as eligible for listing on the National Register. This listing is assembled and maintained by the U.S. Department of the Interior.

National Register properties gain recognition, protection, and financial assistance for preservation or restoration. In Glocester, the following properties are listed in the RIHPC report as being on the National Register of Historic Places:

- Chepachet Historic District
- Harmony Chapel, Putnam Pike
- Former Glocester Town Pound, Chopmist Hill Road

The following lists the properties which were recommended for consideration for placement on the National Register in the RIHPC report:

Lapham-Ballou Farm	Farnum Road
Farnum House	Farnum Road
Hawkins-Aldrich House	Huntinghouse Road
Former Evans Schoolhouse	Jim Evans Road
Former Burlingame Farm	Philips Lane
Thomas Cutler Farm & Site of Cutler’s Tavern	Putnam Pike
Hunt-Farnum Farm House	Putnam Pike
Former Clarkville School	Putnam Pike
Peckham Farm	Snake Hill Road
The Mann Farm*	Snake Hill Road
C. C. Mathewson House	Tourtellot Hill Road

*This site has been developed since publication of this report.

The RIHPC report emphasized that this is not considered an absolute or final list. As further research becomes available regarding the historical resources of the Town, other potential candidates for the Register may be identified. In fact, over 150 properties were identified in the RIHPC report as meriting

¹⁰ Ibid Number 9, p. 13

Natural and Cultural Resources

further consideration regarding their historical significance and potential to be determined eligible for listing on the National Register.

Another facet of the historical resources inventory is archeological resources. The RIHPC maintains an inventory of noteworthy archeological sites. Most sites have not been thoroughly investigated and may contain significant artifacts. To protect these sites, the sites illustrated on Map 14.5 represent 20-acre blocs to obscure the actual site location. One site, the Cherry Valley Archeological Site, is the only archeological site in Glocester on the National Register. Other archeological sites may be eligible for placement on the Register once further site research is completed.

Other significant historical or archeological features in the Town include cemeteries, farm roads and stone walls. In addition, nearby presence of the Blackstone River National Heritage Corridor reflects the unique aspect of the region. Glocester has historical linkages to the Blackstone River and Canal. These features contribute to Glocester's sense of place and, as such, these resources should be preserved and restored whenever possible.

Glocester's cultural and historical resources are continually threat-ened by natural and artificial activities. Weather, storms, and natural disasters pose the natural threat to these resources. Insensitive and inappropriate development are the artificial threats to the cultural and historical resources. Regulations to protect and manage the cultural and historical resources of Glocester are necessary to assure their future existence. Private preservation efforts alone may not be sufficient to protect these resources. Measures such as historical area zoning or historical zoning regulations are possible options that the Town might employ to regulate the alteration, repair, or destruction of historical and cultural resources.

14.5 Natural and Cultural Heritage

Services and Facilities

15.1 Public Services and Facilities

15.2 Introduction

This element of the Plan provides an inventory of existing public services and facilities. However, recreation, open space and circulation facilities are discussed in separate elements. This information will be evaluated for its effectiveness in identifying any service or facility deficiencies. In addition, a forecast of future public services and facilities needs will be offered, based on the projections established in the Land Use and Housing Elements. Programming of the identified public services and facilities needs will be listed in the Implementation Element.

15.3 Public Services and Facilities; Inventory and Analysis

Schools

Education is the most expensive public service provided by the Town according to the Fiscal Year 1994 Budget. The education function is a two-tiered structure: the local Gloucester School Department and the Foster-Glocester Regional School Department. The Gloucester School Department operates two elementary schools comprised of Grades K through 5. The Foster-Glocester Regional School Department has a middle-school with Grades 6 through 8 and a high-school with Grades 9 through 12. The education function uses about 77 percent of the municipality's annual operating budget. Nearly 75 percent of the School Department budget is consumed by personnel expenses. In addition, about 91 percent of the Town's debt retirement budget is devoted to school facilities. This situation leaves the Town little discretion to increase school-related expenses. Should additional school facilities be required to meet future projected demand, taxpayers and School Department officials will need to agree on a capital-improvement program and budget.

The Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education indicated a 1992 Fiscal Year per pupil expense of \$6,130 for the local district and a per pupil cost of \$6,402 for the regional district. The state-wide per pupil cost average at that time was \$6,238. However, these per pupil expenses are not comparable since the Gloucester Schools have only grades K through 5 and the Ponaganset Regional School District have only grades 6 through 12. The state average represents per pupil expenses for grades K through 12.

The Gloucester School Department is comprised of two elementary schools; the Foster-Glocester Regional School Department is comprised of one middle and one senior high school. All four schools are in compliance with the state's Basic Education Program, which establishes basic standards for educational programs, services and conditions. The regional high school is accredited by the New England Association of Schools and Colleges.

All four schools have been recognized by education peers as providing an excellent educational environment. Standardized national test score averages at the elementary, middle and high schools are all above the state and national averages. The Ponaganset middle was selected as one of four in the state to be a training and demonstration site for the Rhode Island Middle Grades Statewide Network and Training Project. A three-year program is designed to plan for the needs of children aged 10 to 15 that are students in the middle school. In addition, the US Department of Education selected the middle school as one of five sites in New England to serve in a five-year program as a technology education demonstration center.

School Enrollment

The New England School Development Council provides data on current and projected school enrollment for the Gloucester and Foster-Glocester Regional School Districts to assist school administrators in their operational and facility planning. Table 15.1 lists the current school-enrollment information. Table 15.2 offers projected school enrollment.

Table 15.1
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT: CURRENT

School	Grades	1999-00	Capacity	Percent Used
West Gloucester Elementary	Pre K - 5	456	650	70
Fogarty Elementary	K - 5	371	470	79
Ponaganset Middle	6 - 8	700	735	95
Ponaganset High	9 - 12	839	800	105

Source:
Gloucester Superintendent of Schools

At present, both of the elementary schools are being used at about 70-80 percent of their total capacity; the regional middle school at 95 percent of capacity; the regional high school at 105 percent of capacity. The current enrollments at the elementary schools, the regional middle and high schools are all at the high end of the capacity range for each facility. However, before any conclusions can be made regarding facility adequacy to accommodate student enrollment, a review of enrollment projections for the next five years is required. Table 15.2 presents those projections.

Table 15.2
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT: FUTURE

School	Grades	Capacity	Projected Enrollment				
			1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
West Gloucester Elementary	Pre K-5	650	456	453	432	431	426
Fogarty Elementary	K - 5	470	395	371	356	338	322
Ponaganset Middle	6 - 8	735	700	666	669	659	644
Ponaganset High	9 - 12	800	896	907	907	897	863

Source: *Gloucester Superintendent of Schools*

Based on the future school-enrollment projections listed in Table 15.2, the elementary schools will be well within their enrollment-capacity design within the study period. The middle school will not approach its enrollment-capacity design near the end of the study period. Accurate tracking of middle-school enrollment should be undertaken during this planning period to prevent an unexpected capacity deficiency from occurring. The high school will continue to exceed its student enrollment-capacity design in this period according to the information contained in Table 15.2. Both the local and regional school departments must monitor enrollments at all the schools to determine whether future in-migration of students or normal enrollment growth will cause capacity deficiencies. Actions to address a capacity deficiency occurrence should be established in advance to afford full consideration of all possible contingencies. Should the School Department have to consider building a new school facility, location

Services and Facilities

and site considerations should be planned in advance of need. Further review of the high school enrollment capacity should be addressed by the School Committee.

Under the current administrative organization of the school department, the elementary schools are considered local, and are administered by the Gloucester School Committee. The regional district, however, is administered by the Foster-Glocester Regional School Committee, an autonomous quasi-public body that submits an educational-expenses invoice to the Town on an annual basis. Regional school department operations and facility planning are conducted independently from local control.

Public Safety

The Gloucester Police Department is the only municipally supported public safety service available in the Town. A new Police Department headquarters was constructed in 1990. Current budget expenditures support a 14-person staff of 10 officers and 4 civilians. Recent expenditures by the Town have allowed this department to purchase new patrol vehicles regularly and to update a computer-reporting system. In addition, the department offers animal control services throughout the Town through a three-person staff; one full-time and two part-time employees. The Town also supports the operation of a municipal kennel in accordance with the RIDEM Standards for Animal Health.

In 1986, 1,816 crime complaints were filed with the Police department. The following year, 2,238 complaints were filed, a record amount. By 1990, the number of complaints had diminished to 1,604. Crime and accident-rate incidents should be monitored on an annual basis to provide comparative information for budgeting and management decisions.

Gloucester provides neither fire nor rescue response service. These functions are offered by three private fire districts: Harmony, Chepachet and West Gloucester. Map 15.1 illustrates the approximate boundaries of these fire districts. These fire districts are staffed by volunteers, with the exception of the Harmony Fire Station which has a paid Chief. To provide for revenues to cover operating expenses, fire districts have the power of taxation. Each district has an annual meeting to propose and establish an operating budget. Registered voters from each district are eligible to vote on budget and operating proposals. As a result of this situation, the Town does not directly involve itself with the activities or service-offerings of the fire districts.

Establishing a municipal fire department to supplement or replace the existing volunteer services has been considered on several past occasions by the Town. However, there was no support to undertake the expenses related to the establishment and maintenance of a municipal fire department. Thus, the Town has elected to leave the current fire and rescue service functions in place.

Map 15.1 Services and Facilities

Services and Facilities

Recognizing the shortcomings of a dispersed public safety service structure, the Town Council formed an Advisory Safety Commission to coordinate the various public safety functions. This Commission is composed of the three fire district Chiefs, the Police Chief, a member of the Gloucester Town Council, the Gloucester Public Works Director, the Gloucester Hazardous Materials Coordinator, the Gloucester Schools Transportation Coordinator, the Gloucester Civil Defense Director and two public members. This group meets on a regular basis to discuss the need for services, response time, service adequacy, and the coordination of public safety services.

The Town has determined that the current supply of public safety services meets the existing demand. However, this situation should be periodically evaluated.

Libraries

The Town is served by two libraries, the Manton Free Public Library and the Harmony Library. Each is privately owned and independently governed through its Board of Library Trustees. Each facility is a member of the Library of Rhode Island, and together they meet the minimum standards for Rhode Island public libraries. In the future, the Town will consider efforts to combine the resources of the two libraries into a comprehensive institution that can provide adequate library services on behalf of the Town. Compliance with these state standards is necessary to secure either state or federal library aid funds. However, the availability of state or federal library aid funds has been sharply curtailed in recent years due to budgetary cutbacks. Although the libraries have not historically received much state or federal library aid, it has become increasingly difficult to obtain this aid. Thus, the libraries secure their principal operating funds from private parties and local sources. State funds have increased significantly since 1995, as State aid is tied directly to State standards for libraries which are met by both libraries cooperatively.

In addition, a Ponaganset Regional Libraries Network was formed in 1992 to “promote awareness of library resources and services available to the residents of the Towns of Foster and Gloucester. This mission is achieved through resource sharing and interlibrary cooperation of the schools and public libraries.” This network includes the following members: Ponaganset High School, Manton Free Library, Harmony Library, Captain Isaac Paine School, Foster Public Library, Tyler Free Library, Fogarty Memorial School, Ponaganset Middle School and West Gloucester Elementary.

Although these are not municipal facilities, they do receive financial support from the Town. The 2001/2002 Fiscal Year Municipal Budget allocation to each library will provide between 65 and 73 percent of their annual operating revenue. The town provides full-time library services as the Manton Library is open 45 hours per week, while the Harmony Library is open 46 hours per week. A town library is open a total 56 hours per week, where, nearly 6,000 individuals, about 65 percent of the Town's total population, have become registered borrowers at these libraries since 1985. In addition, several additions, renovations and service improvements to the libraries were accomplished through grants, donations and fund raisers.

The importance of continued support for library services, expansion of operating hours and improvements to the local library system were often emphasized by the public during the comprehensive planning process. The Town and the Library Trustees both recognize the need to maintain and improve the type and amount of library services available to Gloucester's citizens.

Town Hall

The Town Hall was relocated to a former school building in 1992. The current facility provides adequate space for the various activities of the local government to function. One wing of this building is devoted to municipal government operations while the other wing is for the Superintendent of Schools' offices. Several meeting rooms in this building are used by various bodies, boards, commissions and groups. The building is in substantial compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act accessibility requirements.

Town employees have evaluated the mechanical systems of this facility and determined that the heating and ventilating are in need of repair. In addition, measures to better weatherize and insulate the building should be undertaken.

16.1 Recreation, Conservation and Open Space Facilities

Public Works

The Town does not offer any sanitary sewer or public water supply services. All waste is either contained in cesspools or treated and stored in individual septic disposal systems (ISDS). Potable water is obtained from private or “public well” supplies. The term “public well” is defined by the RIDEM as any well that, “provides drinking water to fifteen or more service connections, or regularly serves an average of at least twenty-five individuals daily at least sixty days of the year.” Thus, a privately owned well may be regulated as a “public well,” but is not part of a municipal water supply system.

The Public Works Department is the second largest municipal government expense. This department is responsible for maintenance of the Town's 88 miles of roadways throughout the year, including storm water management and snow plowing; maintenance of the Town's vehicle fleet, including that of the Police Department; maintenance of Town-owned buildings and grounds, with the exception of the school facilities; operation of the municipal transfer station, and performance of construction services for special projects. The regular department staff is adequate for normal situations. However, during snowstorms and other isolated incidents, additional staff and equipment are retained on a temporary basis.

The Town operates a municipal transfer station on Chestnut Hill Road. Debris that cannot be composted or recycled is separated and transported for disposal at the RI Solid Waste Management Corporation's landfill in Johnston. Recyclables are also separated and delivered to the Material Recycling Facility at the Johnston site. Since the Town does not provide trash or recyclable collection services, most residents haul their waste to the transfer station. Commercial trash haulers are available to those who are unwilling or unable to haul waste themselves.

Town officials have determined that the current level and variety of services rendered by the Gloucester Department of Public Works are adequate to meet the present needs of the Town. The Public Works Director is of the opinion that the current highway garage should either be substantially renovated or replaced in the near future. This matter may be submitted as part of a budget article, for consideration by the Town Budget Committee, the Town Council and the Town Meeting.

Other Community Facilities and Services

The Town has no public water supply system. All drinking water is obtained from groundwater sources. The Town should pursue efforts to undertake groundwater protection actions that compliment state groundwater protection programs. In addition, the Town is cooperating with the towns of Foster and Scituate to establish watershed protection measures for the Scituate Reservoir and its watershed. The Town has also commissioned the Wastewater Facilities Study to consider wastewater treatment and disposal options. As a result of this study, new wastewater disposal ordinances and alternatives will be considered. Additionally, a town-wide wastewater management district will also be considered. Several other actions, such as establishing a wastewater treatment set-aside for the Town at the Burrillville and Smithfield wastewater treatment plants, a feasibility study for Chepachet Village, Echo Lake, and Waterman Reservoir, are under consideration . The goals and objectives of this study are incorporated into the Plan. Alternatives to meeting these objectives deserve further evaluation by the Wastewater Commission with advisory recommendation to the Town Council for implementation. The study is on file in the Town Clerk's office for inspection by the public.

The Town has many recreation and open space sites and facilities available to citizens. These sites are listed in detail in the Recreation and Open Space Element. In addition, the state maintains several facilities in the Town: a RIDOT Road Maintenance Garage, a RI State Police Barracks and the George

Services and Facilities

Washington and Durfee Hill Management Areas. In addition, the federal government maintains the Pulaski Memorial Park. These facilities and services contribute to form Gloucester's sense of place.

Many residents of the Town benefit from the state services provided in the Town. Some of these services include welfare, drinking water and recreation water quality standards, septic disposal regulation, wildlife and forest management, unemployment compensation, mental health support, housing improvements and home-purchasing assistance, motor vehicle registration, and state road maintenance.

The Town has implemented a Capital Improvement Program and has a Capital Budget process to plan for and advance capital expenditure proposals. In this way, decision-makers and voters at the financial town meeting will have adequate information to make reasoned decisions concerning requests for new capital expenditures.

The Town also has an adopted Emergency Management Plan (the "EMP"), that is in compliance with the standards promulgated by FEMA for such plans. The EMP is incorporated into the Plan by reference and is on file for review by the public in the Town Clerk's office.

The Goals, Objectives and Policies and Recommendations are based on this research. The necessary actions to address identified deficiencies are listed in the Implementation Program.

16.1 Open Space and Recreation

16.2 Introduction

This element of the Glocester comprehensive community plan will also serve as the Town's Local Outdoor Recreation Plan. The Standards For Local Recreation, Conservation, and Open Space Plans published in 1989 by the RI State Planning Council were used to supplement the open space and recreation element requirements for a local comprehensive plan. This effort will enable the Town to remain eligible for state or federal recreation, conservation and open space funding.

As described in section 1.2, The Comprehensive Planning Process, the Town followed a planning process that sought public input, involved key local leaders, major system users and interest groups. This process also involved the review and consideration of relevant state plans and programs, coordination with the plans and programs of adjacent communities, and the use of valid data collection and system analysis techniques. This process has enabled the Town to assemble an accurate local outdoor recreation program that has broad support throughout the community.

Four basic steps were used to compose this element:

1. an inventory of existing recreation, conservation and open space resources, including an assessment of natural resources which the community wishes to protect;
2. an analysis of the public's need for outdoor recreation and open space opportunities;
3. the establishment of policies and strategies to fulfill identified needs, and;
4. the creation of an implementation program to carry-out the policies and strategies.¹¹

As part of the Town's comprehensive community plan, this element will be reviewed and updated at least every five years.

16.3 Existing Conditions

The Town of Glocester has been referred to in state and local land use plans as part of a regional "greenbelt oasis" surrounding the Providence metropolitan area. In section 3.1 of this comprehensive plan, the Land Use Element, Glocester affirms its desire to be part of this regional greenbelt concept. Goals, Objectives and Policies, and Recommendations list the various ways the Town will act to implement the greenbelt concept.

It is estimated that nearly 200 persons per year in-migrate to the Town. In addition, more than 95 percent of the resident labor force commutes to work outside the Town. These new residents are attracted to Glocester by reasons other than employment opportunities. In community surveys and public hearings conducted during this planning process, many residents indicated the rural character and the natural environment, the Town's greenbelt role, as important community attributes that influenced their decision to reside and remain in the Town. It can be inferred that many, perhaps most, of these new residents are attracted to Glocester for these same reasons. If true, then preservation of these attributes is of paramount importance to the Town's future viability as a greenbelt oasis.

Of the 9,227 persons residing in Glocester in 1990, 2,525 were less than 18 years of age, representing almost 28 percent of the Town's total population. Typically, this age group is used to determine whether outdoor recreation and conservation opportunities are adequate. However, several contemporary lifestyle

¹¹ Source: Standards For Local Recreation, Conservation and Open Space Plans, State Planning Council, 1989

Open Space and Recreation

studies have shown that in the past two decades more persons remained active in outdoor recreation and conservation activities well beyond their teenage years. Age has begun to have less influence on the overall demand for outdoor recreation and conservation opportunities. Rather, age became more of a determinant regarding specific facility demand (e.g. need for ballfields, soccer fields, etc). If people reside in Gloucester due to its role as a greenbelt oasis, its rural character and the natural environment, it can be expected that a larger percent of the resident population than the norm for other communities will have an interest, perhaps active, in outdoor recreation and conservation activities. Care must be exercised to include overall demand when estimating supply adequacy.

Of the 36,388 acres of area that comprise the Town, 32,495 are listed as undeveloped, nearly 90 percent of the Town's entire area. Most of this area, 75 percent, is comprised of forest. Another 5,524 acres contain water bodies or wetland areas. When combined with the forest lands, these uses comprise about 90 percent of the undeveloped land area in Town. In the developed land area of 3,893 acres, 81 percent or 3,166 acres are in residential use. In addition, while there are several areas of high-density residential development, particularly around several of the many water bodies in the Town, the residential development is mostly scattered throughout the Town's entire area. Of the remaining 727 acres of developed land, less than one percent is used for commercial and manufacturing uses. This development pattern, few homes scattered throughout the heavily forested Town, creates the sense of place that Gloucester has a rural character with abundant natural resources.

This geographically dispersed development pattern makes the creation of neighborhood planning districts impractical. Even the several areas of clustered residential development, such as the villages of Chepachet and Harmony or the Lake Washington and Waterman Lake enclaves, are spatially separate. Thus, the Town will be treated as one planning area with no geographic or neighborhood planning districts.

The inventory will document the identity, service area, size, location facilities and equipment, form of ownership or control, use restrictions and other pertinent information deemed appropriate of each outdoor recreation, conservation and open space area. This information is displayed in Table 16.1 and shown on Map 16.1.

Table 16.1
INVENTORY:
RECREATION, CONSERVATION AND OPEN SPACE

Facility Name	Area in Acres	Type of Facility	Service Area	Ownership
Ponaganset Regional School	112	Playfield	Region	Region
George Washington Mgt. Area	427	Multi-use	State	State
Durfee Hill Mgt Area.	1,354	Conservation	State	State
Killingly Pond	400	Conservation	State	State
Sprague Farm	185	Conservation	State	Land Trust
Hawkins Pond	71	Conservation	State	Land Trust
Pascoag Reservoir Corp.	2	Special	State	State
Sand Dam Boat Launch	1	Special	State	State
Boat Ramps (2 unnamed)	5	Special	State	State
Mater Spei Day Camp	157	Religious Camp	State	Private

Open Space and Recreation

Facility Name	Area in Acres	Type of Facility	Service Area	Ownership
Victory Sportsman Club	165	Sports Club	State	Private
Ponaganset Reservoir	249	Water Supply	Region	PWSB*
Episcopal Conference Center	66	Religious Camp	State	Private
West Glocester Elementary	50	Playfields	Town	Town
Glocester Town Hall	2	Playground	Town	Town
Glocester Memorial Park	30	Multi-use	Town	Town
Acotes Chepachet Little League	2	Playfields	Town	Private
Camp Cookie	97	Campground	State	Girl Scouts
Heritage Park	70	Multi-use	Town	Town
Phillips Farm	68	Conservation	State	Land Trust
Scotstun Town Forest	45	Conservation	Town	Land Trust
Byron Winsor Park	18	Town Park	Town	Town
Fogarty Elementary	50	Playground	Town	Town
Holiday Acres Campground	200	Campground	State	Private
Camp Aldersgate	100	Religious Camp	State	Private
Congregational Convention	50	Religious Camp	State	Private
Camp Russell	10	Camp Cottages	State	Private
Coomer Lake Reservoir	15	Water Supply	Region	PWSB*
Glocester Country Club	165	Golf Course	State	Private
Melody Hill Golf Course	65	Golf Course	State	Private
Alice O. Harris Refuge	32	Conservation	State	Private
Beaver Dam River	36	Conservation	State	Private
Bowdish Lake Campground	113	Campground	State	Private
Cash Preserve	11	Conservation	State	Private
Waterman Lake-B.S.A.	1	Beach	State	Private
Waterman Lake, Citizens	220	Conservation	State	Private
Sandy Brook Estates	24	Conservation	Town	Town
Cora Kent Property	2	Conservation	Town	Town
Pulaski Memorial Park	139	Multi-use	State	State
Fairway Driving Range	9	Golf Range	Region	Private
DiFonzo Beach	1	Town Beach	Town	Town
Marion Irons Beach	2	Town Beach	Town	Town
Oak Leaf Campground	12	Campground	State	Private
Camp Ponaganset	8	Campground	State	Private
Total	4,841	*PWSB (Providence Water Supply Board)		

Source: Glocester Town Planner's Office, 1994

The Town has a rich variety of outdoor recreation, conservation and open space opportunities. People with outdoor interests can usually find a place to enjoy themselves in Glocester. In addition

Open Space and Recreation

to this listing of formal sites, many of the large tracts of private property offer recreation and conservation opportunities to individuals. For example, many private property owners allow hunting on their property by permission. The people enjoying the sport of mountain or off-road biking use some private dirt roads in the Town. In addition, many boaters are allowed to enjoy the private lakes without property owner objection.

The Ponaganset Reservoir and its tributaries are part of the Providence Water Supply Board's Scituate Reservoir supply network. Other smaller streams and wetland networks also act to direct water to the Scituate Reservoir. Nearly one-thirds of the Town's total area is estimated to lie within the Scituate Reservoir watershed. In addition, Gloucester Memorial Park, the Town Beach and several other Town park system properties lie within an important groundwater aquifer associated with the Chepachet River. Recreation and conservation uses of these properties are appropriate to protect and preserve these drinking water supplies.

Conservation efforts by the Town and the Gloucester Land Trust have resulted in the acquisition and preservation of several unique habitats and visually distinctive landscape features. The intent of both groups is to establish links between the various conservation sites to afford citizens an uninterrupted natural experience. The Town has attempted to provide a wide variety of recreation, conservation and open space opportunities.

In order to maintain eligibility in the Statewide Outdoor Recreation Program (SCORP) for grant assistance in acquisition and development, this element of the Comprehensive Plan should be updated periodically to meet the requirements of the Program.

The Recreation Commission, with assistance of the Recreation Director and Planning Office, should undertake this update. At the minimum, the listing of sites by location will need to be updated and illustrated, a problem analysis of each site that reviews facility use, use frequency, size and condition will be necessary, and a detailed maintenance and improvement schedule for each site will be required.

16.4 Supply and Need Analysis

The State Planning Council has established several standard classifications for recreation, conservation and open space facilities. This classification system is intended to provide a standardized format for comparative analysis of facility supply to user/population demand. Thus, a community can assess its provision of recreation facilities in an objective and valid manner.

The State standard facility classification is presented in Table 16.2.

Table 16.2

PARK ASSOCIATION CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

FACILITY	SERVICE AREA (Radius)	DESIRABLE SIZE (Acres)	ACRES/1000 POPULATION
Mini-Park	Less than 1/4 mile	one or less	.25 to .50
Park/ Playground	1/4 to 1/2 mile	15+	1 to 2

FACILITY	SERVICE AREA (Radius)	DESIRABLE SIZE (Acres)	ACRES/1000 POPULATION
Community Park	1 to 2 miles	25+	5 to 8
Regional Park	Regional	200+	5 to 10
Linear Park	No Standard	Variable	Variable
Special Use	No Standard	Variable	Variable
Conservation	No Standard	Variable	Variable

*Source: Standards For Local Recreation, Conservation and Open Space Plans
State Planning Council, 1989*

To conduct a needs analysis, the outdoor recreation sites located in Gloucester have been aggregated into the various classifications. This data will be compared to the standards for each facility category to provide a comparative base for analysis purposes. Table 16.3 provides the aggregated information.

Table 16.3
RECREATION FACILITY CLASSIFICATION ¹

FACILITY CATEGORY	TOTAL NUMBER	AREA IN ACRES		Deficient/Surplus	
		Existing	Standard	1990 ²	1995 ³
Mini-Park	3	6	.25 to .50	in range	in range
Neighborhood Park	1	2	1 to 2	-11.5	-14.5
Community Park	5	218	5 to 8	surplus	surplus
Regional Park	1	112	5 to 10	surplus	surplus
Linear Park	0	0	Variable	N. A.	N. A.
Special Use Facility	0	0	Variable	N. A.	N. A.
Conservation Area	7	393	Variable	N. A.	N. A.
Total	17	731	N. A.	(-11.5)	(-14.5)

1. Table 16.3 only includes facilities in Town & Land Trust ownership.

2. 1990 Population 9227 / 1000 = 9 times base standard.

3. 1995 Population 10,990 / 1000 = 11 times base standard.

Sources: State Planning Program & Gloucester Town Planner's Office, 1994

Based on the information presented in Table 16.3, the Town has a nearly adequate supply of each active outdoor recreation facility type. Only the neighborhood park category has a small future deficiency projected. With the recent establishment of the Gloucester Memorial Park, the Town has provided sufficient active outdoor recreation facilities for the duration of this five-year planning period. In addition, if the state and private recreation facilities were included in the supply analysis,

Open Space and Recreation

the Town would have a surplus of active recreation opportunities. However, the Town wishes to have an adequate supply of active recreation facilities under local control. Although no standard exists for conservation, linear park or special use facilities, a strong interest exists in the Town to continue supporting the efforts of the Land Trust to acquire or otherwise gain control over land for preservation and conservation purposes.

Glocester has active recreation interest groups for Little League and soccer. In addition, there are Boy Scouts, Cub Scouts, Girl Scouts and several other private - interest organizations that use the outdoor recreation opportunities available in Glocester. Small groups and individuals enjoy the pursuits of boating, fishing, hunting, nature watching, hiking, biking, baseball, basketball, softball, soccer, golf, tennis, camping and many other outdoor activities. Based on the supply analysis, the demand interests of users and interviews and surveys of local recreation officials, the Town has a generally adequate supply of active outdoor recreation sites.

Although not specifically identified in the Recreation Facility Classification table, the town provides indoor recreation to the seniors, youth and other adults. These programs are run year-round in a number of facilities and include: Mens Basketball is at Ponagansett Senior High School, skating at Smithfield Ice Rink, as well as swimming for seniors . The Senior Meal Site is another location that provides recreation to residents.

Resident survey data obtained in this planning process indicates that linear parks and walkways, conservation and open space acquisitions and improvements are the preferred desires for the Town's future recreation planning program. In local elections held in 1987 and 1990, the Town voters approved two open space acquisition and improvement referendums for \$500,000 and \$400,000, respectively. Based on the identified concerns in the Land Use and Natural Resources Elements regarding loss of the rural character and the undesirable spread of metropolitan suburbanization into Glocester, preservation of the natural environment and rural character is strongly supported by the Town. Thus, future recreation acquisitions and improvements will be in the linear parks, walkways, conservation and open space categories.

The Goals, Objectives and Policies and Recommendations are provided in Section 8.1 of this plan. In addition, the Implementation section details the responsibilities and agencies designated to carry-out the actions.

The Glocester Land Trust should continue its efforts to obtain and preserve important open space for conservation, preservation, and/or public use and enjoyment. Linkage of open space and recreational parcels should be an important objective towards promoting a systematic program of open space acquisition and use regardless of ownership. This will encourage the establishment and use of trails and greenbelts and promote state recreation goals as well as local goals. The economic viability of acquiring open space has recently been demonstrated in both a regional and local basis by specific studies of fiscal impact. As applicable to Glocester, the objectives and recommendations of the State Greenspace and Greenways Plan are also recognized and identified herein as areas deserving protection and/or restoration.

16.5 Action Plan

The specific actions to carry out the goals, objectives and recommendations of this element are listed in this Action Plan, Table 16.4. This Action Program lists the Town's decisions regarding the timing, need and attainment of the recommendations established through the comprehensive planning process. This plan will comprise the five-year comprehensive plan period of 1994 through 1998.

Table 16.4
RECREATION ACTION PLAN: 1994 - 1999

ACTION	PLAN YEAR					
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Glocester Memorial Park	Complete	Lights for tennis & basketball			Lights for Little League	
Chepachet River Walkway		Plan	Begin Project	Complete		
Cora Kent Park		Site Eval.	Begin Project			
Smith & Sayles Res. Beach & Park		Investigate		Begin Project		
Protection of Unique Habitats	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust
Protection of Unique Natural Features	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust	Land Trust
Conservation Sites Walkways	North-South Trail	Volunteer Support				
Chepachet Village Radial Bike Paths	Plan		Begin Project			
Seniors Recreation Center		Raise Funds	Select Site & Design	Begin Project		
Town Swim Facility						select site and fund

Source: Glocester Recreation Commission & Town Planner's Office, 1994

17.1 Circulation

17.2 Introduction

The circulation system is the means to move people and goods. Thus, the circulation system is a service that typically supports the local, regional and state transportation needs. Various modes of transportation, for example, automobiles or trucks, are used in the circulation system. Whether for commerce or pleasure, the circulation system is an integral component of the comprehensive community plan.

To determine the adequacy of the existing local circulation system, an inventory of existing conditions will first be performed. This inventory will include all modes of transportation used in the local and regional circulation system. This data will be analyzed in conjunction with the Land Use Element's future land-use demand forecast and compared for adequacy. In addition, an evaluation of circulation system ancillary features will be conducted. For example, such matters as whether enough on-street and off-street parking is available, whether air quality standards attainment are an issue, and the relationship between land use and the circulation system will be evaluated. A circulation system action plan and implementation program will then address any identified system deficiencies.

17.3 Existing Conditions

The earliest "roads" in Gloucester were laid out in the 1700's. These ways were used by farmers and travelers for commerce and pleasure. Some of these early roads were privately owned with toll stations along the route. Two such places existed on the Putnam Turnpike, Route 44; one was in Harmony, another, in West Gloucester at Cady's Tavern. In the early 1900's, electric trolleys operated between Providence and Gloucester. Eventually though, the automobile displaced all other modes of transportation. But it was the automobile and the supporting transportation network improvements that caused dramatic change to the historical settlement patterns and population of the Town (See the Land Use Element for a more complete discussion). For an explanation of the historical development of the Town and its circulation system, one reference source is *Gloucester, The Way Up Country*.

The RI State Planning Council has adopted a highway classification system that orders roads into a class for design function and for administrative responsibility. The functional classes are: *expressway, arterial, collector, and local*. The administrative responsibility classes are: *federal, state, local, and private*. Table 17.1 lists each class with a functional description.

Table 17.1 Highway Classification Plan		
FUNCTIONAL CLASS	CLASS DESCRIPTION	JURISDICTION¹
Expressway	Limited-access, major movements; national/state/regional service	Federal or State
Arterial	Serves major transportation movements; state/regional service	State or Regional
Collector	Serves internal transportation movements; mostly local service	State, Regional or Local
Local, Public	Provides access to adjacent land; local service	Local
Local, Private	Provides access to adjacent land; local service	Local

1. Typical responsibility assignment, not exclusive.
Source: State Division of Planning, 1989

These functional classifications have been subdivided to categorize the rural area highway system. Thus, subclassifications for rural area highways systems are: *principal arterial, minor arterial, major collector, minor collector and local*. The Town will use the rural designations to inventory its roadway network. The classification system also enables standard design criteria to be applied to evaluate a highway's "functional adequacy," a roadway's ability to support existing and projected transportation demand. The functional adequacy of a highway is expressed in Level of Service designations ranging from a high of A through a low of F. A roadway's Level of Service is one of several indicators used to plan for highway improvements. Traffic volume and delay are factors used to determine Level of Service.

Table 17.2 Functional Highway Classification Inventory ¹		
Road Classification	Average Daily Traffic Volume	Miles
Principal Arterials		
Victory Highway RI 102	Varies: 2,500 to 13,400	5.3
Minor Arterials		
Hartford Pike RI 101	4,000	1.5
Pascoag Road RI 100	5,800	0.1
Putnam Pike US 44	Varies: 3,500 to 13,400	11.65
Sub-Total Arterials	Varies: 2,500 to 13,400	18.55
Major Collectors		
Anan Wade Road	1,300	1.25
Old Victory Hwy/RI 102	2,000	0.45
Reservoir Road		0.75
Reynolds Road/ RI 94	700	5.2
Snake Hill Road	Varies: 700 to 1,300	7.25
Harrisville Rd/ RI 98		.2
Minor Collectors		
Chestnut Hill Road		1.35
Cooper Road		3.5
Pine Orchard Road		1.45
Pulaski Road		0.8
Putnam Heights Road		0.45
Tourtillot Hill Road		2.25
West Greenville Road		0.5
Sub-Total Collectors	Varies: 700 to 1,200	25.4
Total Classified Roads	Varies: 700 to 13,400	43.95

1. Certain data has been aggregated for descriptive and comparative purposes
 Source: State Division of Planning, 1988 and RIDOT, Traffic Flow Map, 1992

All roads not included in Table 17.2 are considered to be local roads. According to the Gloucester Director of Public Works, the Town is obligated to maintain almost 88 miles of local roads. The local roads are classified into three subcategories: *local*, *suitably improved private roads for public use*, and *private*. The distinction between suitably improved private roads and private roads is that the former are approved by the Town Council. This approval entitles the property owner(s) along these roadways to apply for building permits. However, the Town lacks any process to review and consider prior recorded ways for acceptance as Town roads. Properties along private roads are not eligible for building permits. Based on the information contained in Table 17.2, the highest traffic volumes in the Town exist in Chepachet, at the intersections and overlaps of RI 102 & US 44, with average daily traffic counts of 13,400 vehicles. Individually, these two roadways are also the most traveled in the Town with traffic volumes ranging between 3,500 to 13,400 for US 44 and 2,500 to 13,400 for RI 102. Overall, US 44 has the highest average traffic volumes of any roadway in the Town.

In 1991, the RIDOT conducted functional adequacy studies of RI 102 and US 44 as part of the environmental assessments involving the upgrade of these state roadways. Those analyses included Level of Service (LOS) findings for both roadways. A LOS less than C is considered unacceptable. Table 17.3 lists the results of those studies.

Table 17.3
LEVEL OF SERVICE: ROUTES 44 & 102

	Existing Alignments	
	1988	2010
Route 44		
Eastbound Lane	B	B
Westbound Lane	B	C
Two-Lane Section	D	E
Route 102	B	C

Source: Environmental Assessments, RI 102, 1992 and US 44, 1991 prepared for RIDOT

Traffic accident information is another factor used to determine roadway adequacy. Table 17.4 lists the accidents in the Town.

Table 17.4
TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS 1986 - 1990

Accidents	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	5 Year Average
Glocester	115	146	142	166	145	143
Burrillville	259	190	253	230	229	232
Scituate	139	163	166	174	164	161
Foster	81	80	83	74	69	77

Source: RIDOT, Five Year Summary of Traffic

Although the number of vehicle accidents fluctuated annually, the total number of vehicle accidents increased by 26 percent during the 1986 - 1990 period. Considering the nearly 150 miles of total roadways in the Town, this translates into a five-year average vehicle accident rate of .96 per road mile. A more detailed inspection of local accident data revealed that most mishaps occurred on three roads: Putnam Pike/ US 44, Snake Hill Road and Victory Highway/ RI 102. Table 17.5 provides this information.

Table 17.5
ROADWAY ACCIDENT DATA

Roadway	1988	1989	1990	3 Year Average
Putnam Pike/US 44	65	71	63	66
Snake Hill Road	11	20	13	15
Victory Hwy/Rt 102	16	14	18	16
Total	92	105	94	97

Source: Town Planner's Office, 1994

The total length of these three roadways in Glocester is nearly 25 miles. The three-year average accident rate translates into almost 4 accidents per road mile; significantly greater than the Town

rate of .96 accidents per road mile. The most frequent location for vehicle accidents was in Chepachet at the intersections of US 44 and RI 102 through the village area.

Although nearly 95 percent of Gloucester's labor force commutes daily to work outside the Town, few mass transit options exist for these commuters. Bus transportation offered by the Rhode Island Public Transit Authority (RIPTA) travels between Pascoag, Burrillville and Kennedy Plaza Providence only on weekdays. Table 17.6 lists the service schedule.

Table 17.6
RIPTA BUS SERVICE: ROUTE 44 AT CHEPACHET

Depart Pascoag	Depart Providence
6:43 am	5:40 am
11:00 am	9:40 am
1:05 pm	11:55 am
4:00 pm	2:35 pm
	4:40 pm
	5:15 pm
	5:45 pm

Source: RIPTA 1994

Of the resident civilian labor force of 5,144 persons, 95 percent or 4,886 persons commute to other locations for employment. According to the U.S. Census of 1990, 86 percent of these commuters were in single-occupancy vehicles. In addition, mass transit trips accounted for only .5 percent of the commuter movement. Thus, about 4,424 persons commute daily to work alone. This situation warrants the establishment of a revised RIPTA bus schedule to offer more convenient commuter transportation opportunities. For example, perhaps a more frequent bus schedule could be established using the newer "van-size" class of bus. Para-transit and demand-responsive transit services are also available on a private contract basis. RIPTA has recently begun to expand its service to non-urban areas through a new model called "Flex Service". This service is modeled for communities with little or no fixed-route service. With Flex Service the vehicle (typically a 16 passenger vehicle) travels within an established FlexZone picking up and dropping off passengers anywhere within the zone and connecting to a fixed route service outside the zone. This service may be expanded to include Gloucester in order to address the local public transit needs without expanding fixed-route service at this time. If service is expanded in Gloucester through programs like Flex Service, it may be necessary to locate a Park n' Ride area within the town to enhance connections to fixed-route commuter service.

The village of Chepachet serves most of Gloucester's commercial and service demand. Many of the village's business clientele must use on-street parking. No definitive study has been conducted to determine whether the existing supply of on-street and off-street parking is adequate to service the Chepachet business community. However, during the comprehensive planning process, citizens expressed a desire that the Town establish a public off-street parking facility to serve the Chepachet

village commercial are. The more recent 1997 Chepachet Village Plan identified improvement to off street parking and pedestrian facilities as priority recommendations.

School bus transportation is controlled by the Gloucester and Ponaganset Regional School Districts. The Gloucester Director of Public Works indicated that school buses can safely negotiate all public roads in the Town. Sometimes though, winter snow removal practices partially constrict or obscure roadways and create dangerous travel conditions. This situation is evaluated regularly to avoid such occurrences.

There are two active traffic control devices located in the Town as of 1999, one . One traffic signal is located at the intersection of Snake Hill Road and Route 102, and a new signal is located at Rt.44 and 102 (Money Hill Road). Two flashing caution lights exist along US 44 and RI 102, at major intersections and at fire station locations. Also, flashing lights exist at Anan Wade Road and RI 101 and Pray Hill Road and RI 101. There are no rail, marine or terminal facilities located in Gloucester. In addition, there are no pedestrian nor bicycle trails available for uses other than recreation.

US 44 also serves a regional commerce function as an alternative east - west route between the Providence and Hartford metropolitan market areas. Individuals and truck commerce using this transportation corridor contribute to the local traffic conditions and the demand for ancillary transit services.

Map 17.1 illustrates the major roadway network existing in Gloucester. (*see next page*)

17.1 Roadway Map

17.4 Analysis and Conclusions

Residential land development in the Town is expected to exert the greatest demand for use and change upon the local circulation system. Based on the population projections in the Land Use Element, about 75 additional homes will be constructed annually in the Town during this comprehensive plan period. These new residents will generate an estimated 10 additional vehicle trips per day per home according to the Institute of Highway Engineers. These new vehicle movements will either be accommodated by the existing circulation network or involve the establishment of new local roads. In addition, if the current employment and transportation preference trends exist with these new residents, on an annual basis about 110 will join the labor force, 105 will commute to work outside the Town and 95 will commute in single-occupant vehicles.

Individual examinations will be necessary to determine whether the existing road system is adequate to accommodate this expected demand. In addition, this growth will also foster market responses. As such, the Town will be faced with market demands to expand the existing commercial base, principally along highways. This very dilemma was mentioned throughout the comprehensive planning process as an undesirable growth outcome for the Town. The “undesirable spread of suburbanization” was considered to embody the traditional strip type highway-commercial development. The through traffic related to regional commerce will also add to this demand for commercial activities along heavily traveled roadways.

Not only is the commercial land use dilemma a problem; conflicts related to land use and transportation also occur. Numerous traffic movements in heavily traveled, high-speed or traffic-congested areas increase the likelihood of accidents and compromise motorist safety. So-called “curb cuts” on state and local roads for various land uses must be carefully reviewed and designed/located to avoid creating these unsafe travel conditions. In addition, inadequate consideration of land use demand on the transportation system can result in premature or unexpected circulation system deficiencies. Furthermore, inappropriate and insensitive roadway design standards can ruin the Town's rural character and sense of place.

The RIDOT Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) process has been revised to a statewide priority system for five year planning periods. Since 1992 the town has continually requested upgrades and improvements to US 44 and RI 102. These improvements are expected to address the identified roadway deficiencies. The Town intends to continue its participation in the TIP process and will provide the RIDOT and State Planning Council with input regarding state-road use and functional adequacy. The Town has also requested that the Statewide Planning Council include the Plan for Chepachet Village in the 1998-2000 TIP. This proposal included the Chepachet Village Enhancement Project, a comprehensive proposal for the revitalization of the historic Chepachet Village center. This project has particular emphasis on economic development, scenic and historic values, tourism, streetscape, traffic, pedestrian improvements, and potential intermodal linkages. The Town should continue to participate in the TIP program by submitting its priorities for statewide review and ranking, and to pursue its local planning objectives.

The comprehensive planning process and the Chepachet Village Planning process both revealed a public desire to install a public off-street parking facility to serve Chepachet village. It was expressed that shoppers could use the parking facility to be safely separated from the traffic on US 44. This facility might also serve as a multi-modal transportation system link. Consideration should

be given to the feasibility and desirability for this facility to form the focus and link among private passenger vehicles, mass transit services, pedestrian and bicycle trails. Thus, the village will be the central focus of this activity and be available to offer commerce services to individuals using this facility.

The recently completed Chepachet Village planning project contains a series of recommendations in addition to parking and pedestrian circulation improvements which deserve further evaluation, and possible implementation through the TIP process, as either part of the RI 102 or the US 44 improvement projects, or as enhancement projects. Among these are the improvement of sidewalks with historic appeal, the establishment of “traffic calming” techniques in the village area, crosswalks with pavement separation, new curbing with review of curbcuts, and landscaping. While some of these and other recommendations may fall into enhancement program categories, the RIDOT is encouraged to review and respect the Town’s interest in implementing the Chepachet Village Plan recommendations pertaining to preserving and enhancing the special historic and rural character of the Village. In this respect, inclusion of the village area in the state scenic road program, the provision of period lighting and furniture, underground burial of utility wires uniform and period signage, and design of a gateway entrance to the village are all transportation related issues deserving support and implementation as long range objectives of the village plan, as they would be implemented largely within the transportation corridor. In addition, increased frequency and time-matched bus service from Chepachet to Providence should be considered by the Town and RIPTA, possibly through the establishment of Flex Service. The currently available bus service is inconvenient and not well-suited to the wider ranging workday. Several persons stated that ridership levels are low as a result of this inconvenient scheduling arrangement. If Flex Service is expanded to Glocester, it may increase ridership on the current fixed routes. Greater use of mass transit, fewer single-occupant vehicle trips, and alternative transit modes by Glocester residents will help to reduce area air pollution, provide energy conservation and help Rhode Island comply with the Clean Air Act.

Private roads and platted rights-of-way exist in the Town. Several Town officials have expressed opinions that a review and acceptance policy is needed to establish these as public ways. There are no standard procedure or design guidelines existing to help Town officials evaluate and decide whether a particular right-of-way meets the definition of a public road. Also, subdivision road design standards have recently been reviewed for adequacy regarding function, aesthetic and design integrity. It is expected that these two issues may result in similar road design standards for each separate approval and acceptance process.

To manage its nearly 120 miles of roads, the Town uses a systematic maintenance and improvement process. All roads are catalogued and evaluated for condition by the Department of Public Works which has begun using a systematic pavement management program. An annual work program maintains and upgrades roads determined to be in need. This listing is contained in the Town’s Capital Improvement Program and its operating and capital budgets prepared by the Budget Committee and Town Council and submitted to the Town Meeting for consideration and action. Money obtained by Glocester from the Community Highway Improvement Program (CHIP) is dedicated to the capital-improvement schedule costs to upgrade local roads.

Transportation is also available to elderly residents of the Town through the Statewide RIDE program, where the local operator is Northwest Transportation. This transportation is available to Town residents aged 60 years of age and older or to those who are disabled. There is also a meal site

in Town that provides residents in need of food with meals approximately three days per week. The meal site is located at the corner of Snake Hill Road and Saw Mill Road. Another service available to the elderly from the Department of Elderly Affairs is the pharmaceutical aid program. This assistance is available to qualified residents and can provide savings of up to sixty percent off regular prescription prices. The Town will make an effort to link all these services to the meal site through the transportation network.

The Goals, Objectives and Policies and Recommendations derived from this inventory and analysis are listed in section 9.1 of this plan and in the Implementation schedule.

Bibliography

1. R.I. Enabling Acts Relating To Land Use and Planning, R.I. Department of Administration, Division of Planning, Local Assistance Program; 1992
2. Sutro, Suzanne. Reinventing The Village, (Planning Advisory Service, Report No. 430, 1991)
3. Heyer, Fred. Preserving Rural Character, (Planning Advisory Service, Report No. 429, 1990)
4. So, Frank and Getzels, Judith. The Practice of Local Government Planning, (ICMA in cooperation with the APA, 1988)
5. McHarg, Ian. In Design With Nature, (John Wiley & Sons, 1969)
6. Herr, Philip. Saving Place, A Guide And Report Card For Protecting Community Character, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1991)
7. Howard, Ebenezer, Sir. The Garden Cities Of Tomorrow, (Cambridge, Ma, 1966)
8. Gloucester Bicentennial Commission, Gloucester, The Way Up Country, 1976
9. Gloucester Planning Board, Comprehensive Community Plan, 1986
10. Gloucester Planning Board, Recreation, Conservation , Open Space Plan, 1984

